1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA				
3	TAMPA DIVISION				
4	CASE NO: 8:09-CV-264-T-23EAJ				
5	ESTATE OF KYLE THOMAS BRENNAN,				
6	By and through its Administrator,				
7	Victoria L. Britton,				
8	Plaintiff				
9	VS.				
10	Church OF SCIENTOLOGY FLAG SERVICE				
11	ORGANIZATION, INC., Denise MISCAVIGE				
12	GENTILE, GERALD GENTILE AND THOMAS				
13	BRENNAN				
14	Defendants				
15					
16	VIDEO DEPOSITION				
17	DEPONENT: PETER MANSELL				
18	DATE: JULY 29, 2010				
19	9:00 A.M 1:32 P.M.				
20	LOCATION: 3000 GULF-TO-BAY BLVD. STE 500				
21	CLEARWATER, FL				
22	REPORTER: DENISE ANN HERROLD				
23	NOTARY PUBLIC				
24	STATE OF FLORIDA AT LARGE				
25	D & D REPORTING SERVICE, INC.				

1	3000 GULF-TO-BAY BLVD. SUITE 500				
2	CLEARWATER, FL 33759				
3	(727) 723-2002 1-800-468-2003 FAX 727-723-2003				
4	APPEARANCES:				
5	For the Plaintiff: KENNAN G. DANDAR, ESQ.				
6	5509 WEST GRAY ST. STE 201				
7	TAMPA, FL 33609				
8					
9	For the Defendant: LEE FUGATE, ESQ.				
10	ZUCKERMAN, SPAEDER				
11	101 E. KENNEDY BLVD, STE 1200				
12	TAMPA, FL 33602				
13	ROBERT POTTER, ESQ.				
1 4	JOHNSON, POPE ET AL				
15	915 CHESTNUT STREET				
16	CLEARWATER, FL				
17	RICK ALVAREZ, ESQ.				
18	1509 WEST SWANN, STE 240				
19	TAMPA, FLORIDA				
20	ALSO PRESENT: SARAH HELLER				
21	I N D E X				
22	Direct Examination by Mr. Dandar 5				
23					
2 4					
25					

1		EXHIBITS				
2	Deposition	Mar	rked for			
3	Exhibit No.	Description Ider	ntificatio			
4	1	Privilege Log	5			
5	2	High Crime Bulletin 4/88	5			
6	3	High Crime Bulletin 4/88	5			
7	4	High Crime Bulletin 3/83	5			
8	5	High Crime Bulletin 10/00	5			
9	6	PTSness and Disconnection	5			
10	7	Offenses and Penalties	5			
11	8	Staff Member Reports 5/65	5			
12	9	PTS Handling 8/73	5			
13	10	Policies on Phys. Healing	5			
14	11	Chaplain and Ministerial Serv.	5			
15	12	Modern Mgt. Technology Defined	5			
16	13	Tech Division Chaplain 8/65	5			
17	14	The Department of the Chaplain	5			
18	15	Chaplain 9/68	5			
19	16	Org Board for Dept. Of Chaplair	n 5			
20	17	Copy of Lecture Analytical Mind	d 5			
21	18	Knowledge Report of Thomas Brenna	an 5			
22	19	Amended Notice of Taking Depo	5			
23	20	Additional Req. to Produce Duce	es 5			
24		Tecum at Corp. Depo				
25	21	Notice of Supplemental Req. for	79			

1		Duces Tecum concerning Co	orp. Dej	00
2	22	Notice of Taking Corp. Vi	deo De	po 79
3		Duces Tecum		
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1 PETER MANSELL WAS CALLED AND AFTER BEING DULY SWORN WAS EXAMINED 2 3 AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 4 (At this time Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1-2 $\phi$ 5 were marked for identification.) 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 8 BY MR. DANDAR: 9 Q. Good morning. Have you ever had your 10 deposition taken before? 11 Α. No. 12 In a deposition I'll be asking you the Q. 13 questions. You're allowed to stop me and ask me to 14 rephrase the question if you don't understand it. Will 15 you agree to do that? 16 Α. Yes, I do. 17 In a deposition you have to verbalize your 18 answers, yes, no. You can't shake your head or say 19 uh-huh or huh-uh like you would in a conversation 20 because the Court Reporter can't take that down. 21 you agree to try to abide by that rule? 22 I'll agree. Α. 23 Q. Okay. Please state your full name. 2.4 Α. Peter James Mansell.

How do you spell your last name?

25

Q.

```
Α.
                   M-a-n-s-e-l-l.
 1
 2
             0.
                    How are you employed?
 3
                    I work for the Church of Scientology, Flag
             Α.
 4
     Service Organization.
 5
             Q.
                    In Clearwater?
 6
             Α.
                    Yes.
 7
             Q.
                    How long have you -- when did you first
 8
     become a Scientologist?
 9
             Α.
                    1977 in August.
10
                    MR. DANDAR: Is that overpowering the
11
             volume, the air conditioner?
12
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No.
13
     BY MR. DANDAR:
14
             0.
                   Where?
15
             Α.
                    Sydney, Australia.
                    Are you a member of the Sea Org.?
16
             Q.
17
                    Yes, I am.
             Α.
18
             Q.
                   And when did you join the Sea Org.?
19
             Α.
                    1986, 13th of March.
20
                   And where did you join the Sea Org.?
             Q.
21
                    In Sydney, Australia.
             Α.
22
                    When did you move to the United States?
             Q.
23
             Α.
                    The only reason I pause is because I've
24
     been in and out several times. Most recently 1990
25
     something.
```

```
Q.
                   Are you an American citizen?
 1
 2
             Α.
                    No.
 3
                   You're a citizen of Australia?
             0.
 4
                    Citizen of England actually.
             Α.
 5
             Q.
                   And in 2006 were you located in Clearwater?
 6
                   Yes.
             Α.
 7
                   Were you a member of the Church of
             Q.
 8
     Scientology, Flag Service Organization, Inc.?
 9
             Α.
                   Yes.
10
             Q.
                   What department did you work in in 2006?
11
                    The Office of Special Affairs.
12
                    How long have you been with the Office of
             Q.
13
     Special Affairs?
                    Since 1986.
14
             Α.
15
                   And were you ever with the Guardian's
             Q.
     Office?
16
17
                   No.
             Α.
18
                   Are you still with the Office of Special
     Affairs?
19
20
                   Yes.
             Α.
21
                    What is your -- and if I use the wrong
             Q.
22
     words, just correct me, okay. What is your hat at the
23
     Office of Special Affairs?
24
             Α.
                    I think you mean my position.
25
                    Okay, I thought they called it hat.
             Q.
```

```
1
     sorry.
 2
                   I'm the director of the office.
             Α.
 3
                   Okay. And when did you become the director
             0.
 4
     of the Office of Special Affairs?
                   In mid 2007. I'm not sure of the month
 5
             Α.
 6
     exactly.
 7
                   What were you before that?
 8
                   I was the deputy director.
 9
             Q.
                   When you were the deputy director, how many
10
     years did you have that position?
11
                   I believe two or -- about two years I
12
     think.
13
                   Who was the director when you were the
             Q.
14
     deputy director?
15
             Α.
                   Ben Shaw.
                   Is Ben Shaw still part of OSA?
16
             Q.
17
                   Yes.
             Α.
18
             Q.
                   Does he have a higher position?
19
             Α.
                   He has a different position. It's a bit
20
     difficult to say. I quess he -- he's a junior to me so
21
     I guess he has a lower position.
22
                   Is he in Clearwater?
             0.
             Α.
23
                   Yes.
                   Tell us what OSA does.
24
             Q.
```

OSA is the Office of Special Affairs, and

25

Α.

essentially my office is responsible for the activities outside the Church itself. We deal with the Church's external matters like legal matters, public relations matters and our community programs, which includes we - I oversee or coordinate our antidrug campaigns and things like that.

- Q. Are you -- do you have any involvement or does the Office of Special Affairs have any involvement with the -- Narconon?
- A. Not directly, but a coordination function I occasionally contact them or communicate with them, but it's not any -- anything where I'm responsible for them or direct them or anything like that.
- Q. In the Scientology organization chart, is OSA Division 6?
  - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

20

2.1

- O. What division is it?
- A. It isn't really a division. I mean it's

  -- it is a separate office.
  - Q. It's not under a division?
    - A. (Nods affirmatively.)
  - Q. It's just out there by itself?
- A. Yes, sorry.
- Q. So is it part of -- is it part of the organizational chart -- that was very good, by the way.

Is it part of the organizational chart?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. It has its own chart.
- O. What is Narconon?
- A. Narconon is an independent drug rehabilitation program based on the works of L. Ron Hubbard.
  - Q. What types of drugs?
- A. I believe mainly they concentrate on street drugs or illegal drugs, but I'm not really a Narconon spokesman or representative so.
- Q. Well, don't -- don't they also concentrate on prescription drugs that are for psychiatric use?
- A. To the best of my knowledge, no, but I'm not a Narconon expert or person.
  - Q. What is CCHR?
  - A. The Citizens Commission on Human Rights.
  - Q. And what do they do?
- A. They are an organization that deals with reform in the area of psychiatry.
- Q. Where do they fit on the organizational chart of Scientology?
- A. They don't really. They're a separate
  organization. Similar -- I guess similar to CCHR in
  that they are an organization that Scientologists
  individually are involved with, but they're not part of

the Church structure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

22

23

Q. Now, the Office of Special Affairs which in which you have been the director since mid 2007 -- well, let me ask you this first.

You said you were the deputy director for two years prior to assuming the position of director in mid 2007. So before you were -- became the deputy director in 2000, what, five?

- A. Five or six, something like that.
- Q. Were you in OSA?
- A. Yes.
- Q. How many years have you been in OSA, probably the better question?
  - A. I think we asked that and I said 1986.
  - Q. Did you?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Sorry. I'm trying to figure this out. You
  18 said that OSA is not part of the organizational chart of
  19 Flag or Division 6 and it has its own organizational
  20 chart. Who is above OSA? What organization or entity
  21 or person?
  - A. You mean here in Clearwater?
  - Q. Okay. Let's start with that, Clearwater.
- A. Nobody, really. I'm the head of my activities.

- Q. Okay. Who do you -- who is your up line?
- A. I would -- if I'm reporting to somebody above me, it would be the OSA office above me in the Church of Scientology International.
  - Q. And where are they located?
  - A. California.
- Q. Now, on the Church of Scientology organizational board or chart?
  - A. Uh-huh (nods affirmatively).
- Q. There's something called Division 6,

## 11 correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.3

- 12 A. Yes.
  - Q. What is in Division 6?
- A. Division 6 includes the activities of the
  Church that deal with the communication of Scientology
  to new people, people that don't know about Scientology.
  So it would include things like promotional matters,
  that kind of stuff.
  - O. Book sells?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Are you an auditor?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. What class?
- A. I'm not classed.
- Q. Does that mean you're a beginning auditor?

- A. It just means I've done some auditing, and I've done some training, but I don't have a classification.
- Q. The reason why I ask it that way is because I don't know. When you say you're not classed, I don't know if that is you already went beyond Class 12, I don't know if that means that, or you haven't made it to the first class, which -- what number is the first class?
- A. Haven't made it to the first class, whatever that might be. I haven't received a certificate as a trained auditor so.
  - Q. Are you a case supervisor?
  - A. No, I'm not.
    - Q. Are you still a member of the Sea Org.?
    - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Were you ever a member of Flag?
- A. When you say a member of Flag, I'm not sure.
- Q. I'm not sure either to be honest with you
  You know, Flag -- OSA is not part of Flag, right?
- A. The Church of Scientology, Flag Service
  Organization?
  - Q. Yes.
- 25 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

- Q. If OSA is not part of the organizational chart of Flag, it's off somewhere on its own, then why are you telling me that OSA is part of Flag?
- A. Well, Flag is a corporation. It's part of the Flag Service Organization corporation. I work for the Flag Service Organization.
  - Q. Who pays you?

1.3

- A. The Flag Service Organization.
- are the Amended Notice of Taking Corporate Video

  Deposition Duces Tecum, and the Additional Request to

  Produce Duces Tecum at the Corporate Deposition. In

  Exhibit 19 I ask the Church of Scientology, Flag Service

  Organization, Inc. to produce the person or persons

  authorized officers, agents or managing agents to

  testify and produce documents on behalf of the following

  pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

  Number one was to identify and produce the ethics

  officers, MAA and/or FSMs for Thomas Brennan from August

  2006 to the present.

Are you the ethics officers, MAA or FSM of Thomas Brennan?

- A. No.
- Q. Number two asked to produce the ethics officer, MAA and/or FSM for Denise Gentile from August

2006 to the present. Do you fit any of those roles? 1 2 Α. No, I don't. 3 And number 3, the same for Gerald Gentile 0. 4 Do you fit any of those roles? 5 Α. No, I don't. 6 Can you tell me why Flag has not produced any of those individuals today? 7 8 MR. POTTER: I think that's something for 9 me to respond to, but first of all, you said that 10 19 was your amended notice. I think what you 11 just read from was your original notice. So 12 let's make sure we're talking about the same 1.3 thing. MR. DANDAR: It says, Amended. I know we 14 15 have the additional --MR. POTTER: Oh, okay. You changed --16 17 that's right, because you changed -- on the 18 amended it was the date. 19 MR. DANDAR: Just changed the date. 20 MR. POTTER: Okay. I don't have that one 21 in front of me, but I've got the original one. 22 MR. DANDAR: It's the same. 23 MR. POTTER: Okay. All right. To answer 24 your question --MR. DANDAR: Well, why are you answering 25

the question? I'm not deposing you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. POTTER: Yes. Because I'm going to tell you why we haven't produced these people.

MR. DANDAR: He doesn't know?

MR. POTTER: Which is a legal question as opposed to a factual question for this witness to respond to. And we talked about this earlier off the record.

Mr. Mansell can identify the ethics officers by their name. In terms of producing the ethics officers, I have interviewed them for the last two days, and I have determined that their only knowledge regarding this matter -either they don't have any knowledge regarding this matter or any knowledge they do have came out of their role in the auditing process in which they serve as a minister to either Thomas Brennan, Denise Gentile or Gerald Gentile and, therefore, all of the information that they have falls under the -- what is it, the priest penitent privilege of Florida Statute 90.505 or the information they have is protected by those individuals' right to privacy under the Florida Constitution, or that information is protected bythe religion clause of the U.S. and the Florida

Constitutions, and/or that information is protected by the Religious Freedom Restoration Act. Therefore, I, on behalf of FSO, I have elected not to produce those individuals for this deposition today.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$  DANDAR: You probably know what my response is to that.

MR. POTTER: Well, I think you probably should make it.

MR. DANDAR: I don't think you, as the attorney, have the right to tell me who I can depose or not depose. No objection was filed to my Notice of Deposition. I believe everything has been waived because of that. I also believe that if I want Flag to produce these people, they should have at least have produced the people, identify themselves under oath. Let me ask the questions of them, and if there's a particular question that you believe falls within a privilege, you can assert the privilege at that time, but you can't do so playing the judge, as well as the attorney, and just not produce anybody that fits the people that I've asked for, the description of the people that I've asked for.

232425

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. POTTER: Well, you have filed what I think was an attempt for deposition under Rule  $30(b)\{6\}$ .

MR. DANDAR: Correct.

MR. POTTER: But in that deposition you've asked us to produce a person. We don't produce people. We produce documents. And a 30(b)(6) deposition does not identify the person. That's up to the entity to produce the person that's going to testify on those topics. I'm producing Mr. Mansell to testify on the topics that you attempted to identify in this Notice of Deposition.

He is able to testify as to the identity of the ethics officer, but we're not producing a person pursuant to a -- basically what's a notice of duces tecum. Duces Tecum talks about producing documents.

MR. DANDAR: Well, this is a corporate deposition, and you're supposed to produce the person or persons with the most knowledge. And asked you --

MR. POTTER: No, no. I'm supposed to produce a person with knowledge. It doesn't have to be the most knowledgeable, but I don't produce

1.3

a -- I don't produce the person you identify. I produce the person that the corporation wishes t produce.

MR. DANDAR: All right. On the record, are you saying that the field staff member, FSM, the person who earns a commission on selling courses is protected under the priest penitent privilege?

MR. POTTER: Well, again, you identify -on a 30(b)(6) notice you identify the subject
matter. The defendant gets to produce whoever
they wish to produce. You're perfectly free to
ask Mr. Mansell any questions you want to about
staff members, but, no, to answer your question.
I'm not producing a person. I'm not producing
the ethics officer, and I'm not producing what
you think is an FSM. I'm producing Mr. Mansell
to testify to the subject matters that you have
identified in your notice.

MR. DANDAR: Mr. Mansell is an ethics officer, an auditor?

## BY MR. DANDAR:

1.3

- A. In most cases, yes.
- Q. Is there a separate file called an ethics folder that is separate from the auditing folder?
  - A. In most cases, yes.

- Q. The auditing folder is produced by the preclear and the auditor, is that right?
  - A. Correct.

1.3

- Q. During the session where their preclear is using the E-Meter, and the auditor is reading the needle on the E-Meter after questions are asked, is that right?
- A. Well, generally, I think that's correct. I don't want to say, yes, because you're describing an auditing session which that may or may not be the way an auditing session is. But generally speaking, I think that's okay to say that.
  - Q. You don't know what an auditing session is?
  - A. I do.
  - Q. So did I describe it correctly?
- A. Not completely, but as I said, generally understand what you're saying so.
- Q. All right. Why don't you give me a complete definition of an auditing session?
- A. Auditing is a Scientology counseling process that involves the person who is the counselor, the auditor, who is assisting somebody that we're terming a preclear which means somebody who is on the way to becoming clear of difficulties and upsets and the problems in life using specific Scientology principles. It may or may not involve an E-Meter, for example. Your

description was an auditing session involving an E-Meter. That may or may not be required.

- Q. Are there a set of preprinted questions that the auditor asks the preclear?
  - A. Not necessarily.
- Q. How often does an auditor engage in the auditing process with a preclear? Is that every day?
  - A. It varies.
  - Q. Have you ever taken an auditing class?
  - A. Yes.

1.3

- Q. And in the auditing class how often would you audit a preclear?
  - A. It's a very difficult question to answer in every case. It's like saying how often do you drive a car.
    - Q. Well, in an auditing class you have certain course requirements, do you not?
    - A. I think that's one of those questions I'll have to ask you to clarify.
    - Q. In an auditing class how many auditing sessions do you have to complete before you get a course certificate of completion?
  - A. That's a very difficult question to answer because I don't know what -- it depends on the auditing class.

In a Class 4 auditing class, how many 1 Q. 2 auditing sessions with a preclear does the student 3 auditor have to complete in order to get a certificate 4 of completion? 5 Α. There's no fixed number. 6 And how do you know that? 0. 7 How do I know that there's no fixed number? Α. 8 Q. Yes. 9 Α. I've studied the materials of the Class 4 10 course. 11 When did you do that? Q. 12 Α. 1984. 13 Did you complete that course? Q. 14 Α. No. 15 You just studied it? Q. 16 Α. Yes. 17 Did you try to complete the course? Q. 18 Α. No. 19 Q. If you weren't trying to complete the 20 course, why would you study it? 21 I was interested in -- interested in the Α. 22 material. 23 Q. Now, as the Office of Special Affairs, does 24 that office also retain counsel for litigation? 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. Does that office also hire or retain private investigators for litigation?
  - A. No.

2.1

- Q. What office retains private investigators
- A. I believe our counsel retained private investigators.
- Q. Have you -- and you've never been an ethics officer, right?
  - A. No.
- Q. So isn't it true that at the end of an auditing session the student auditor in a Class 4 class hands over the material that's generated during the auditing session to a case supervisor?
  - A. Would you mind repeating that one.
- Q. Does a student in a Class 4 auditing class hand over the record of question and answers produced in the auditing session to the case supervisor?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And does the case supervisor then place some of that information, if not all, into an ethics folder?
  - A. Generally speaking, I'd say no.
- Q. Okay. Well, under what circumstances would the information from the auditing session be placed into an ethics folder?

- A. If the auditor or the case supervisor and for the benefit of understanding, a case supervisor is also an auditor and as a senior minister. If the auditor or the case supervisor felt that any material was relevant to the ethics officer, he might share that with the ethics officer.
- Q. And the ethics officer is different from case supervisor, correct?
  - A. Correct.

1.3

- Q. And the ethics officer is not an auditor, correct?
  - A. Usually they are, yes.
  - Q. But there are some that are not?
- A. I actually don't know the answer to that, but I think it's possible that there are. But the ethics officers that I'm familiar with are also auditors.
- Q. Has the Church of Scientology ever made public material contained in a member's ethics folder?
- A. I don't think I'm capable of answering what the Church of Scientology has ever done, especially when you say the Church of Scientology. I presume that means other Churches of Scientology than the Flag Service Organization, and what they may have ever done seems like a fairly broad question.

- Q. Are you aware that the auditing session information of Marty Rathbun was made public by the Church of Scientology, Flag Service Organization, Inc.?
  - A. No.

1.3

- Q. Are you aware that the auditing session information of Amy Scobee was made public by the Church of Scientology, Flag Service Organization, Inc.?
  - A. No.
- Q. Or the same thing for Michael Rinder. Are you aware that his information -- I'm not saying all of it -- but his information contained in his auditing file, folder, was made public by the Church of Scientology, Flag Service Organization, Inc.?
  - A. No.
- Q. Isn't it true that information that is claimed by the Church of Scientology to be priest penitent is disclosed to the public because the Church of Scientology, Flag Service Organization, Inc. states it comes out of their ethics folder which is not protected by priest penitent privilege?
- A. That question is little bit too long. Would you mind repeating it or clarifying it.
- Q. Isn't it true that ethics folders are not priest penitent?
- A. Ethics folders are priest penitent.

- Q. So then does that mean that the information in a member's ethics folder should never be disclosed to someone who is not an auditor?
- A. I think that would depend on the material in the ethics folder.
  - Q. Okay. What does that mean?
- A. Well, an ethics folder can contain, for example, a commendation. If I performed some task efficiently, another staff member might write a note commending me with a letter of commendation, and that would be contained in my ethics file.
  - Q. What else would be in your ethics file?
- A. There could be knowledge reports which would be a report written by --

THE COURT REPORTER: It could be what, sir,

I'm sorry?

## BY MR. DANDAR:

1.3

- A. Knowledge reports which would be a report written by anybody in the Church that has knowledge about me that they think the ethics officer should know.
- Q. So that certainly would not be protected by priest penitent because that person could be anybody?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. But there are some auditing session materials in an ethics folder, correct?

- A. Could be. More than likely.
- Q. All right. So you said commendations?
- A. Uh-huh.

- Q. Knowledge reports. What else?
- A. There could be, as we've said, information from auditing sessions.
- Q. Okay. Those are three things I have so far. Is there more?
  - A. I think that generally covers it.
- Q. How about specifically? In an ethics folder what else besides those three items?
- A. I think any -- any report that -- or information concerning that individual Scientologist's ethics subject. The person could write something themselves if they wanted to and send it to their own ethics file.
- Q. All right. So what does that mean within the definition or understanding of the Church of Scientology, what is ethics?
- A. Ethics is the subject that deals with the choices that an individual makes to pursue a path in life which will be the optimum for himself and his fellow man.
  - Q. Anything else?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. So why then would a third-party's knowledge report be placed in someone's ethics folder, if that's not what the person's choices are? How does that fit in there?
- A. The knowledge report would be used by the individual to understand what other people are saying or thinking or communicating about him so that he can look at this, and say this is true or this is not true or this is true and I'm going to change something because it's obviously something that other people or another person is considering worthy of addressing to an ethics officer.
- Q. Does the preclear get the opportunity to look at a session of auditing? Does a preclear get to open up and look at what's in his or her auditing file?
  - A. No.

- Q. Does a preclear -- and I'm using the word preclear. I don't even know if I'm using the term right so.
  - A. That's fine.
- Q. All right. Preclear means to me anybody within the Church of Scientology, any member, public or staff. Is that okay or is that not okay?
- A. I understand what you're saying, but I think it's probably better just to say a Scientologist.

- Q. Okay, there you go, fine. Can a Scientologist obtain and review his or her ethics folder?
  - A. Yes.

1.3

- Q. Is there a folder that a Scientologist cannot review?
  - A. His preclear, auditing folder.
  - Q. That's the only one?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Who is the ethics officer of Thomas Brennan from August of 2006 to the present?
  - A. Well, this was part of the difficulty in that I think the best answer is he doesn't have one.
    - Q. Why is that the best answer?
  - A. Well, the -- the notice says to produce the ethics officer for Thomas Brennan, and Thomas Brennan or any Scientologist doesn't necessarily have an ethics officer. He may visit an ethics officer or may communicate with an ethics officer, but to say his ethics officer is a little bit difficult. And particularly in the case of Thomas Brennan who has never been a parishioner of the Flag Service Organization.
  - Q. Who -- what ethics officer did Thomas Brennan have contact with from August 2006 to the present?

```
Matteo, M-a-t-t-e-o, and his second name
 1
             Α.
     slips my mind.
 2
 3
                   Where is he located?
             0.
 4
             Α.
                   In -- currently he's -- he works for the
 5
     Church in Europe.
                   What country?
 6
             Ο.
 7
                   Denmark.
             Α.
 8
                  Where was he in August and September of
             Q.
     2006?
 9
10
             Α.
                   Clearwater.
11
             Q.
                  Was he at Flag?
12
             Α.
                   Yes.
13
             Q.
                When did he leave Flag?
                   I believe it was mid to late 2007.
14
             Α.
                   Why did he leave Clearwater?
15
             Q.
                   He was transferred to Europe in the normal
16
             Α.
17
     course of events.
18
             Q.
                   Did it have anything to do with Thomas
19
     Brennan or Kyle Brennan?
20
             Α.
                   No.
21
                   How many contacts did he have with Thomas
             Q.
22
     Brennan in 2007?
23
                   I don't know the exact number. I'm
24
     guessing two or three.
25
                  What about 2006?
             Q.
```

Α. Two or three. 1 Is there a folder that would show what was 2 3 discussed between Matteo, the ethics officer, and Thomas 4 Brennan? 5 Α. Yes. And who has possession of that? 6 7 The ethics officer at Flag Service Α. 8 Organization. 9 Q. And who is in charge of that? 10 Α. The person in charge is Alfonso Barcenas, 11 Jr. 12 How come that sounds so familiar. Q. 13 Alfonso been around here for a while? 14 Α. I believe so, yeah. 15 THE COURT REPORTER: Could you spell his 16 last name, please. BY MR. DANDAR: 17 18 Α. B-a-r-c-e-n-a-s, Jr. 19 0. Was he involved in Lisa McPherson? 20 No. Α. Was he a member of OSA? 21 Q. 22 Α. No. 23 Q. He's a Sea Org. member, though, correct? 24 Α. Correct.

You said it's junior, not senior?

25

Q.

```
Correct.
 1
             Α.
 2
             0.
                   What's Alfonso Barcenas, Senior's position
 3
     or hat or --
 4
             Α.
                   His father is -- I'm not sure, to be
 5
     honest. He works for Flag Service Organization.
 6
             0.
                   Is he in OSA?
 7
             Α.
                   No.
 8
                   Alfonso, who left in mid-2007 to Europe,
             Q.
 9
     he still considered a part of Flag?
                   Alfonso didn't leave.
10
             Α.
11
                    I'm sorry. Matteo left?
             Q.
12
             Α.
                   No.
13
                    Okay, let me just -- help me out here.
             Q.
14
     Matteo is who again?
15
             Α.
                   Matteo is the ethics officer who did meet
     with Thomas Brennan in 2006 and 2007.
16
17
                    Okay. And Alfonso, Jr. is the chief of the
             0.
18
     ethics office at Flag today?
19
             Α.
                   Correct.
20
                   And what about in '07?
             Q.
21
                    Same person.
             Α.
22
                    Okay. And he's the one that possesses the
             Q.
23
     ethics folder of Thomas Brennan?
24
             Α.
                   Correct.
```

Q.

When Matteo was the ethics officer here at

Flag and he goes to Denmark, is he still an ethics 1 2 officer for Flag or is it some other organization? 3 Some other organization. 4 Q. What's that called? 5 Α. The Church of Scientology -- I'm not sure of the corporate name. Church of Scientology of 6 7 Denmark, of Europe. I don't know. 8 What is the -- what does MAA stand for? Ο. 9 Α. Master at Arms. 10 Q. How is that different from an ethics officer? 11 12 It's not really different. It's -- the 13 name for an ethics officer in the Sea Organization. 14 Did Thomas Brennan have any contact with Ο. Master at Arms? 15 16 Α. Same person. 17 0. It's Matteo? 18 Uh-huh (nods affirmatively). Α. That's a yes? 19 Q. 20 Α. Yes. 21 That's what this means, this means speak. Q. 22 And who was the FSM for Thomas Brennan from August of 23 '06 to the present? 24 Α. He didn't have one.

Tell us what an FSM is.

25

Q.

- A. A Field Staff Member.
- Q. What do they do?
- A. A Field Staff Member is a Scientologist who is not a staff member, not a -- he doesn't work for the Church. It's a parishioner who assists another parishioner in his Scientology services.
  - Q. How?

1.3

- A. Usually by helping the parishioner to understand what Scientology services are available and what might be most suitable for that parishioner.
- Q. And does the FSM earn a commission when that person they're advising takes a course?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did Denise Gentile ever get a commission on any course that Thomas Brennan took?
- A. No. And Thomas Brennan never took a course by the way.
  - Q. At?
  - A. At Flag Service Organization.
  - Q. What was the subject matter that Matteo and Thomas Brennan talked about when they met in 2006?

MR. POTTER: Okay, hold on. Obviously any conversation between Matt Matteo (sic) -- maybe not obviously, but any conversation that occurred between Matt Matteo and Tom Brennan, we are

asserting the privilege to.

MR. DANDAR: I disagr

MR. DANDAR: I disagree with you, but --

MR. POTTER: I understand.

MR. DANDAR: Wait a minute.

MR. POTTER: Let me -- let me state the privilege.

MR. DANDAR: Oh, you're not done. Okay, I'm sorry, I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MR. POTTER: The privilege is that we asserted the same ones that I listed earlier, that would be the priest penitent or clergy communication under Florida Statute 90.505, a right to privacy under the Florida Constitution, under the religion clauses of the U.S. and Florida Constitutions, as well as the Religious Freedom Restoration Act.

So I will instruct this witness not to answer any questions that would divulge the substance of those conversations. You can ask him about the conversations, but I will not allow him to divulge the substance of what was discussed.

MR. ALVAREZ: And to the extent necessary Mr. Brennan, of course, joins in that objection on the very same bases not only mentioned by Mr.

1.3

2 4

Potter now, but mentioned on behalf of Mr.

Brennan through various objections that have

indeed been filed, as well as a letter that was
sent to you, Ken, goodness, probably two, three
weeks ago.

MR. FUGATE: And the same on behalf of
Denise Gentile, and I believe from Gerald
Gentile's deposition you never asked him any
questions about auditing and Thomas Brennan, and
he didn't audit Thomas Brennan.

So to the extent that the privilege is applied here on their behalf, I vote the same provisions.

## BY MR. DANDAR:

1.3

Q. What was the subject matter, without getting into the substance, what was the subject matter, the topic that Matteo discussed with Thomas Brennan in 2006?

MR. POTTER: Well, I'll instruct him not to answer that question because he can't without divulging the substance of the communication. He can't divulge the substance or the subject matter of the conversation.

MR. DANDAR: I disagree.

MR. POTTER: I understand. Nevertheless,

I'm instructing him not to answer that question 1 2 or similar questions. 3 BY MR. DANDAR: 4 Q. Did Matteo discuss his son Kyle Brennan or 5 Thomas Brennan in 2006? MR. POTTER: Same instruction. 6 7 BY MR. DANDAR: 8 Did Matteo discuss Kyle Brennan with Thomas Brennan in 2007? 9 10 MR. POTTER: Same instruction. BY MR. DANDAR: 11 12 Q. Were there any other ethics officers who 13 had any contact whatsoever other than the Sea Org. 14 member at Flag, Mr. Matteo (sic) ethics officer in 2006 15 and 2007? Not that I'm aware of. 16 Α. 17 0. Have you reviewed those -- that ethics 18 folder? 19 Α. Yes. 20 What gives you the right to look at Thomas 21 Brennan's ethics folder? 22 I'm responsible for the legal affairs of 23 the Church and that ethics folder is obviously a 24 question in this litigation. 25 Q. How big is the ethics folder of Thomas

Brennan?

1.3

- A. Very thin.
- Q. Why does the ethics office at Flag have an ethics folder of Thomas Brennan?
- A. Thomas Brennan was a friend of Denise

  Gentile. Denise was a student auditor, and Thomas was

  helping her with her student auditor training by

  receiving counseling from her.
- Q. And that -- that counseling, pardon my words, that counseling wasn't make-believe, that was real, correct?
  - A. Absolutely real.
- Q. All right. And I'm just telling you -- I'm going to show you where I'm coming from, all right. I'm in a class in college. It's a psychology class, and psychologists have a privilege, but I'm in a psychology class as a student, and I'm pretending -- or not -- participating, I'm giving a counseling session with another student, and one of us is the patient and one of us is the psychologist because we're in a class, and we're observed by our instructor.

Would you agree with me that there's no privilege there because that's just in a class and it's all pretend?

MR. ALVAREZ: Objection to form.

MR. POTTER: I object to the question.

You're now asking him basically to give a legal opinion about whether or not a privilege applies to a hypothetical situation. Number one, he's not here as an expert. Number two, this is not an area that you've designated on your 30(b)(6) notice for him to be prepared upon.

So the question I think is irrelevant.

I'll let him ask (sic) it, but I think it's

totally irrelevant. You're asking him for a

legal opinion, which he's not qualified to give.

BY MR. DANDAR:

- Q. He says he'll let -- let you answer the question.
  - A. I don't know the answer.
- Q. Does Thomas Brennan have an ethics folder anywhere else other than at the Flag ethics office?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Did you call up the Tampa Org. or any -- the Miami Org. or the Washington, D.C. Org. to see if they have any ethics folders?
  - A. No.
- Q. If they did have ethics folders on Thomas Brennan, would they be consolidated somewhere?
- A. No.

1.3

- Q. Why didn't you call up the Tampa Org. to see what folders they have on Thomas Brennan?
- A. Because this notice was addressed to me and I represent the Flag Service Organization.
  - Q. Is your name in the notice?
  - A. Well, no.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

- Q. What about the -- how did you determine that Thomas Brennan does not have an FSM?
- A. I spoke with the staff member at Flag Service Organization who is responsible for FSMs.
  - Q. And who was that?
- A. Nathaniel -- again, I'm slipping on the second name, sorry.
  - Q. And Nathaniel told you that Thomas Brennan has no FSM at Flag?
    - A. Correct.
  - Q. Did you check to see if Thomas Brennan was ever a member of the crew at Flag?
- A. I didn't check that, no, but I know he's never been.
- Q. What does it mean to be a member of the crew at Flag?
- A. I think you mean does he work for Church of Scientology, Flag Service Organization, or has he ever worked for the Church of Scientology, Flag Service

Organization.

- Q. Okay, I don't know if that's what I mean, but has he?
- A. No -- well, I didn't actually go and check that to see anything in history, but I think I can say with certainty, knowing what I know, that he has never worked for Flag.
- Q. Has he ever performed the services of a chef for Flag?
  - A. No.
- Q. I want you to know that -- I want you to assume that this is true, that Thomas Brennan spoke to Victoria Britton, his ex-wife, in the fall of 2006 and told her that he had the opportunity to serve as a chef for some celebrities at Flag for a party. Do you know anything about that?
  - A. No.
  - MR. POTTER: Object to the form of the question because it calls for this witness to speculate.
  - MR. DANDAR: No, I asked him just to assume.
  - MR. POTTER: No, you asked him to assume. So you're basically asking him to give an answer to a hypothetical question.

BY MR. DANDAR:

1.3

- Q. Do you know if someone says they're on watch at Flag, what does that mean?
  - A. I do not really know.
- Q. If someone is doing security work for Flag
  in Clearwater, would that include a phrase of being on
  watch?
  - A. Could be.
- Q. In the summer of August and September '06 when Kyle Brennan was visiting with his father and his new wife Wendy, Kyle Brennan -- I want you to assume this, hypothetically assume it -- that he reported to his mother that his dad would get on a bicycle and go at night for his watch duty at Flag. Do you know anything about that?

MR. POTTER: I'm going to object to the compound nature of that question, but you can answer it if you can.

## BY MR. DANDAR:

- A. I don't know anything about that, and I don't really understand the question. Maybe you could clarify it or something.
- Q. The security guards who patrol outside the Church of Scientology Flag Service Organization buildings?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

- Q. -- in Clearwater, do they at times ride a bicycle?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever -- did you look for a Freedom magazine that has the picture of Tom Brennan -- Thomas Brennan in it?
- A. I looked through the Freedom magazines that I could locate.
  - Q. And did you find one?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Did you find a Freedom magazine that
  has a picture of a Scientologist on the cover who is an
  African American or of African descent? May not be an
  American, I don't know.
  - A. I don't recall that, no.
- Q. Okay. What other folders of Thomas Brennan
  are at an office at the FSO, besides the ethics folder
  you just told me about?
- A. There is the folder in my office that has the one report that I produced.
  - Q. What's that folder called?
- A. It just has the name Thomas Brennan on it It's just a folder in my office.
- Q. Can you tell me what exhibit that is?

A. Yeah.

1

3

- 2 Q. Exhibit 18?
  - A. Correct.
    - Q. And what is Exhibit 18?
- A. It's a report dated February 17, 2007, from Jerry Gentile.
- Q. And it says it's going to OSA, O-S-A, Int.

  8 I-n-t. What is OSA Int?
- 9 A. OSA Int is the Office of Special Affairs
  10 International, which is the equivalent of my office but
  11 for the Church of Scientology International in
  12 California.
- Q. What does -- what does the writing at the top mean?
- A. It's the title of a staff member in my office.
- Q. So Ext -- just go ahead and tell me what that means?
- A. Okay. It's the external sits prevention officer, OSA, Flag.
- Q. And FLB stands for what?
- A. Flag Land Base.
- Q. Is that the same as FSO?
- A. Same thing.
- Q. All right. Now, what does SITS stand for

A. Situations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

20

- Q. This is external situation?
- A. Prevention officer.
- Q. All right. What's the duties of that person?
- A. To take note of situations that may require some dealing with them to make sure that they are not a problem.
- Q. Who wrote this at the top of this typed report of Jerry Gentile?
  - A. I have no idea.
- Q. First of all, let me ask you this. Did

  Jerry Gentile type up this knowledge report?
  - A. Well, it says from Jerry Gentile, so that s
    -- I've never spoken to Jerry about it.
    - Q. Is this a typical form to be used for a knowledge report?
- A. I don't think there's a typical form, but it looks like a knowledge report to me.
  - Q. Where is OSA Int. located?
  - A. In Hollywood, California.
- Q. Why would Jerry Gentile who lives in Clearwater send a knowledge report to OSA Int. in California?
- A. No idea.

- Q. Did Jerry Gentile send a knowledge report or any other type of report concerning Tom or Kyle Brennan to OSA here in Clearwater?
- A. Well, he sent or I presume he sent a copy Actually I don't know whether I presume it, but this copy came to me.
  - Q. When did you get it?
- A. I think I first saw this sometime early in 2007.
- Q. So would -- would it have been the date on there of February 17, 2007?
  - A. I think it was sometime, some short period after that, some days after that.
    - Q. And did you see any other reports that concerned Tom Brennan or Kyle Brennan other than this one?
      - A. No.
- 18 Q. Did you do anything after looking at this report?
- 20 A. No.

- Q. Is there a policy in Scientology that requires Jerry Gentile to write a knowledge report concerning Kyle Brennan or Tom Brennan?
- A. There is a policy that suggests a

  Scientologist should write a report if he has knowledge

of something of importance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Is that knowledge of something of importance only of a negative nature or something that's also a positive?
  - A. Could be anything.
- Q. So who was the exterior situation prevention officer here at Flag in Clearwater when this was written on February 17, 2007?
- A. Mahriah Pearce who I believe you know from our hearings.
  - Q. Familiar name, too.
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. Mahriah, is that spelled the same way as
  Maria?
- A. No. M-a-h-r-i-a-h.
- 16 O. And the last name?
- 17 A. Pearce, P-e-a-r-c-e.
- Q. And do you know why that was written at the top of this knowledge report?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Do you know who wrote it?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Do you know when it was written?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Do you know why there's an arrow there?

That's the normal way that people show wh $\phi$ 1 Α. -- or what something, you know, where it's directed. 2 3 I presume whoever wrote that put the arrow to suggest 4 that it's going to that person. 5 Ο. So she's at the OSA office here in 6 Clearwater, correct? 7 Α. She was at the time. 8 Where is she now? Q. 9 I actually don't know. Α. When did she leave? 10 Q. 11 2009 sometime. Α. 12 Have anything to do with the -- this case Q. 13 being filed? 14 Α. No. 15 Did she do anything in reference to this Q. 16 knowledge report? Not that I'm aware of. 17 Α. 18 Q. Who did she report to back in February of 2007? 19 20 Me. Α. If she did anything, would she, in the 21 Q. 22 custom and practice, report to you as to what she did? 23 Α. Yeah. 24 Q. And you don't have any knowledge of her

25

doing that?

- A. Well, she showed me this report. That's how I came across it.
- Q. Did you tell her to do something when you read this report?
  - A. No. I just thanked her for informing me.
- Q. As an exterial (sic) -- external situation prevention officer, did she go out to try to minimize any adverse effects of the death of Kyle Brennan?
  - A. No.

- Q. All right. You said that Thomas Brennan only has an ethics folder at the ethics office at Flag here in Clearwater. And I asked you does he have any other folders here in Clearwater, and did you look for other folders?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. How did you go about doing that?
- A. I thought where folders might exist if he was a Scientologist doing services at Flag or involved with something at Flag, and I went to those places.
  - Q. What are those places?
- A. In addition to the ethics file and preclear files, if a person had ever bought a book or a course or something like that, he would have a treasury file.
  - Q. Just at Flag?
- 25 A. Yes.

So if he brought a book or course at Tampa 1 Q. Bay Org., they would have that treasury file? 2 3 Yes. Α. 4 Q. And you wouldn't know anything about it? 5 Α. No. You would if you asked the Tampa Bay Org. 6 7 to produce it, though, right? You mean I could find out? 8 Α. 9 Q. Yeah. 10 Α. Yeah. Now, Thomas Brennan, though, does have a 11 12 preclear file at Flag, doesn't he? 1.3 He did have. Α. 14 What happened to that? 15 Α. He returned to New York at some point. don't remember when exactly, and so his preclear folders 16 went to the Church in New York. 17 18 Q. And why did he return to New York? I don't know. 19 Α. 20 Did he live in New York before? Q. 21 Yeah. Α. 22 Did he live in New York before the death 0. 23 his son? 24 I don't really know much about Tom's 25 history to be honest.

- Q. Tell me how his preclear folder got to New York.
- A. Sometime after Tom moved to New, York either he or somebody in the New York Church would have requested that they be sent. I don't actually know who did that, but it's a normal thing when people travel from one church to another that their preclear folders go with them.
- Q. For Tom to have a preclear folder at Flag is there some type of registration document that he is obtaining auditing services at Flag?
- A. I'm not sure what you mean by registration document.
  - Q. Well, is there some type of piece of paper, a computer entry, something at Flag that shows when he started his services at Flag and when he ended his services?
    - A. Yes.

- O. And what's that called?
- A. Well in Tom's case there wouldn't be, but...
  - Q. Why not?
  - A. Because Tom wasn't really taking services at Flag. He was assisting Denise basically.
- 25 Q. Yet he has a preclear folder at Flag,

correct?

- A. Had, yes.
- Q. So did he come and pick up his preclear folder and take it to New York?
  - A. No.
- Q. How does that happen? How does it get transferred?
- A. Normally the person will let his auditor or somebody know I'm going to New York tomorrow or I'm leaving town or something like that, and please have my folders sent to the Church in wherever they're going.
- Q. So is there a document that shows when he requested this, who he requested it to and who sent it?
- A. I haven't seen the document, and it may just have been a phone call or a personal request.
- Q. So if I call up and say, I want Peter -I'm Peter Mansell and I want my preclear folders sent to
  China Org. -- now, come on, it doesn't happen like that.
  You have -- isn't there some type of security involved
  in the preclear folder leaving the Flag Land Base and
  going to New York?
- A. There -- yeah, there would be -- there would be a record, yes.
  - Q. So who would have that record at Flag?
  - A. The -- I don't know the exact person, but

there is somebody who would keep an administrative 1 2 record of these folders departed and went to New York. 3 0. Right. Α. Yeah. 4 5 Q. You don't know who that is? 6 No. Α. 7 0. So there used to be then an ethics folder 8 -- well, there still is -- there used to be a preclear 9 folder of Thomas Brennan at Flag. How big was that, dd 10 you know? 11 No. 12 That preclear folder contains documents Q. 13 that were generated during an auditing session between 14 Thomas Brennan and Denise Gentile, right? 15 Α. Yes. 16 0. Do you know if it contains any other 17 documents? 18 Α. No. 19 0. Have you ever seen it? 20 Α. No. 21 Now, what is your understanding of how Q. 22 Thomas Brennan was helping Denise Gentile in her Class 4 23 auditing course?

A. Denise was studying to be an auditor, and part of the process of that study is that you read the

24

materials that explain how to do a certain type of auditing. That's like theory study. And then you'll do a practical study which is you will sit with somebody and perform that type of auditing.

So my understanding is that Tom was a friend of Denise's previously, and she asked him to help her with her training.

- Q. As part of that training Thomas Brennan sat there with an E-Meter with Denise on the other side of the E-Meter in session?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And you don't know how many times week they did that?
  - A. No.
- Q. And that generated paperwork from the -- each session, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that paperwork is placed into preclear folder, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And the theory supervisor and the case supervisor would get copies of each session, correct?
    - A. No.
    - Q. Who would not get a copy?
- A. Copies are not made.

- Q. Okay. So they would see the original paperwork?
  - A. The case supervisor would.
- Q. Okay. Now, if the case supervisor saw in one of those session papers something indicating a potential trouble source, a PTS, does that case supervisor have a duty under the policy of Mr. Hubbard, to then report that in some fashion to an ethics officer?
- A. Does the case supervisor have a duty?
  Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And would that ethics officer in from February 8th to February 17th of 2007 be Matteo?
    - A. You mean for Thomas Brennan?
    - Q. Yes.

- A. Yeah.
- Q. Do you know of any other ethics officer that had anything to do whatsoever with the sessions of Denise and Thomas Brennan?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did I ask you -- did you give me the name of the case supervisor? Is there more than one?
  - A. I don't think you asked.
- Q. Okay, let me ask that. Who is the case supervisor?

- A. Marissa Jenson.
- Q. Can you spell Marissa?
- A. I can guess. M-a-r-i-s-s-a, or something like that.
  - Q. Okay. And Jenson, how do you spell that?
  - A. My quess would be J-e-n-s-o-n.
  - Q. All right. Have you talked to her about this matter?
    - A. No.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Is she still a case supervisor?
- 11 A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. How do you know that Marissa Jenson was a case supervisor for the sessions between Denise Gentile and Thomas Brennan?
- 15 A. I saw her name.
- Q. And where did you see her name?
- A. Well, if I saw her name on the documents that are listed in the privilege log.
- 19 Q. Is that your answer?
- A. Well, I guess I want to make sure I'm not saying anything that violates the privilege so.
- Q. Okay. Did you see her name in the preclear auditing file of Thomas Brennan?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did she make any reports in that

file folder? What is the right word to use, is it a 1 file or a folder? 2 3 Α. File is fine. Either way is fine. Q. Did she make -- create any document that 4 5 went into Thomas Brennan's ethics folder? 6 Α. No. 7 Do you know how she communicated anything 8 concerning Thomas Brennan or Kyle Brennan to the ethics officer? 9 Could you give me that one again. 10 Α. 11 Do you have any knowledge whatsoever that 12 she communicated in any manner anything concerning 13 Thomas Brennan or Kyle Brennan to the ethics officer? 14 Α. No. 15 MR. POTTER: Can we take a break at some 16 time soon, Ken. 17 MR. DANDAR: Do it now. 18 (At this time a brief recess was taken.) 19 BY MR. DANDAR: 20 Did you -- do you have with you today the 21 record from Flag on the transferring of the PC folder of 22 Thomas Brennan to New York? 23 Α. No. 2.4 Q. Can you get that? Is it hard to print out?

It's not hard to print out, no.

Do you agree to produce it? 1 MR. DANDAR: MR. POTTER: I -- I will get the document 2 3 and look at it, and then I will tell you the answer to that question. 4 5 BY MR. DANDAR: 6 Is Marissa Jenson the only case supervisor 7 that had any involvement with Thomas Brennan? 8 Α. Yes. 9 But we don't know where she's at today? Q. 10 Α. She's in Clearwater. 11 Oh. Is she still a case supervisor? Q. 12 Α. Yes. 1.3 Q. Okay. 14 MR. DANDAR: And she's not being produced 15 today because of the priest penitent privilege, 16 Mr. Potter? Is that what you said? 17 MR. POTTER: She's not being produced today 18 because there wasn't even a request to produce 19 her person. MR. DANDAR: I didn't know her name. 20 Ιt 21 says, Defendant shall designate one or more 22 authorized persons, officers, agents or managine 23 agents to testify and produce documents. 24 MR. POTTER: Dada. Mr. Mansell is here. 25 MR. DANDAR: I disagree that he qualifies

for that, but okay. 1 MR. POTTER: He doesn't qualify for what, 2 3 Ken? MR. DANDAR: To testify as his case 4 5 supervisor or the ethics officer or the MAA or 6 MR. POTTER: Where is that -- where is that 7 on your list? Where is it on your list of 8 topics? 9 MR. DANDAR: In addition to the above 10 specific person to produce the documents listed 11 below and designate person or persons to testify 12 about matters known or reasonably available 13 regarding the following. MR. POTTER: And then you give a list of 14 15 documents? MR. DANDAR: Right. I produced Mr. Mansell 16 17 to you. 18 MR. DANDAR: And then I give a list of 19 documents referenced to Thomas Brennan. 20 MR. POTTER: Yeah. 21 MR. DANDAR: Okay, okay. 22 MR. POTTER: And you're suggesting that in 23 response to that I'm supposed to produce the case 24 supervisor. How am I supposed to know that? 25 mean, if that's true, how am I supposed to know

that. 1 2 BY MR. DANDAR: 3 Okay. Does Denise Gentile have a PC folder 0. 4 at Flag? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Does she have an ethics folder at Flag? 0. 7 Α. Yes. Okay. Now, because of the -- her -- I'm 8 Q. 9 going to use the wrong terms, but advanced training up 10 the bridge, which I believe was around OT-7, she's 11 considered what is known in Scientology as an OT. 12 Correct? 1.3 Α. Correct. 14 Okay. And OT's can only get the training 0. 15 at certain organizations around the world, correct? 16 Α. Training? 17 Is that the wrong word? 0. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Okay. They can only take the level offered 0. 20 by Scientology as an OT at certain organizations around 2.1 the world? 22 Α. Again, it's a little bit -- I'm not quite 23 sure I understand what you're asking. All right. Is there any place in Florida 24 Q.

other than Flag where Denise Gentile could take a class

```
for an auditor's course?
 1
 2
             Α.
                   Yes.
 3
             Ο.
                   Where?
 4
             Α.
                   Tampa.
 5
             Q.
                   At the Tampa Org.?
 6
                   Yes.
             Α.
                    Okay. Was she registered as a member of
 7
             Q.
 8
     the Tampa Org.?
 9
             Α.
                    I have no data on that.
10
             Q.
                    Okay. But she was registered as a member
11
     at Flag?
12
             Α.
                   Yes.
13
                    Okay. And when she was participating in
             Q.
14
     the class for auditor's course at Flag, it was in the
15
     Coachman building, correct?
16
             Α.
                    Correct.
17
                   And the Coachman building in downtown
18
     Clearwater is part of Flag?
19
             Α.
                    Correct.
20
                    Okay. And Marissa Jenson, the case
             Q.
21
     supervisor, is a case supervisor at Flag, correct?
22
             Α.
                  Correct.
23
             Q.
                   What -- is there rankings of case
24
     supervisors like there is of auditors?
25
                    There are degrees of training as case
```

```
supervisor.
 1
             Q. All right. And now where does Marissa
 2
 3
     Jenson fit back in '07?
 4
             Α.
                   I believe she's a Class 4 case supervisor
 5
     but I don't actually know for sure.
 6
             0.
                   Is that what she is today?
 7
                   I believe so.
             Α.
                   Who is Denise Gentile' ethics officer --
 8
             Ο.
 9
     what -- rephrase that. What ethics officer had any
     contact with Denise Gentile in '06 and '07?
10
11
                   In '06 and '07? It would have been Jasmihe
12
     Arellano. J-a-s-m-i-n-e, I believe.
1.3
             Q.
                   Start over.
14
             Α.
                   J-a-s-m-i-n-e.
15
             Q.
                   Last name?
                   Arellano I believe is A-r-e-l-l-a-n-o.
16
             Α.
17
                   MR. POTTER: Ken, did you ask case
18
             supervisor or ethics officer?
19
                   MR. DANDAR: Ethics.
20
     BY MR. DANDAR:
21
                   Is that for all of '06 and '07?
             Q.
22
             Α.
                   Yes.
23
             Q.
                   Did you look at her ethics folder?
                   I didn't look at it, no.
24
             Α.
25
                   And what about Gerald Gentile's ethics
             Q.
```

officer for '06-'07?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

20

21

23

- A. Jasmine Arellano.
- Q. Okay. And is his ethics folder at Flag?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Is his auditing folder at Flag?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And the same for Denise, her auditing folder is at Flag?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Now I'm into Section A of the Notice of Deposition. Number one is the HCO PL 7. Did you produce that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what we've
  marked as Exhibits before we started. And I'm going to
  ask you -- well, here, let me just give you this one.
  think this is where you're going to find it. What
  Exhibit Number is HCO PL 7, March '65, Offenses and
  Penalties?
  - A. Exhibit number 7.
    - Q. HCO PL 20, October '91, which one is that?
- A. That's the one that doesn't seem to exist
  - Q. Is there anything that comes close?
  - A. Not that we were able to find.
- O. Okay. What about Number 3, HCO PL 27,

```
October '64, Policies on Physical Feeling and Sanity and
 1
     Sources of Trouble?
 2
 3
                   Exhibit Number 10.
             Α.
 4
             Q.
                   HCO PL 1, May 1965, Staff Member Reports?
 5
             Α.
                   Exhibit Number 8.
                   HCOB 10, August '73, PTS handling?
 6
             0.
 7
                   Exhibit Number 9.
             Α.
                   HCOB 8, March '83, Handling PTs Situations?
 8
             Q.
                   Exhibit Number 4.
 9
             Α.
10
             Q.
                   HCOB, 31 December, '78. Outline of PTS
11
     Handling?
12
                   Exhibit Number 5.
13
                   HCOB, 10 September, '83, PTSness and
             Q.
14
     Disconnection?
15
             Α.
                   Exhibit Number 6.
                   HCO PL 6, December 1976, Illegal PCs
16
             0.
17
     Acceptance of High Crime PL?
18
             Α.
                   Exhibit Number 3.
19
                   And all records, Internet postings or
20
     documents and policies explaining the chaplain in
21
     Scientology?
22
                   Exhibit Number -- I think there might be
23
     more than one. Exhibit Number 11, Number 12, 13, 14,
24
     15, 16. Yeah.
25
                   Picture of Thomas Brennan in Freedom
```

magazine?

1.3

- A. I wasn't able to locate any picture of Thomas Brennan in the Freedom magazine.
- Q. Tell me what steps you took to find or search for his picture in a Freedom magazine.
- A. I looked through the copies of Freedom magazine that I have in my office. My office generates Freedom magazine. So if he was in a Freedom magazine, I would have expected to find it, but I didn't.
  - Q. How far did you go back?
- A. I think the earliest ones were probably -it was before 2000.
  - Q. So at least you have all the copies of Freedom magazine from 2000 to 2000 -- and today?
  - A. No, no. There are Freedom magazines produced in Los Angeles that I don't necessarily have copies of.
  - Q. Okay. Are you the only one that looks for his picture in a Freedom magazine?
    - A. No. Sarah Heller also looked.
    - Q. In your office?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. So you didn't look beyond your office?
- A. No. I don't know where else I would find a copy except in my office.

- Q. Freedom magazine you said is produced by your office?
- A. My office does produce Freedom magazines, yes, but they're also produced in Los Angeles and they're produced in Europe. They're produced in Australia. They're produced in England. So I don't really have access to all Freedom magazines. But if you have one with Tom's picture in it, I can look at it or something for you.
  - Q. Not yet.
  - A. Okay.

- Q. My clients saw it. But the copyright on the Freedom magazine, sometimes it's sent to my house. It says, Flag Service Organization, Inc.
  - A. Right.
- Q. So but you're not with Flag Service
  Organization, Inc. So why is it generated in your
  office? I'm -- I'm misunderstanding. It looks like it
  anyway.
- A. Yeah. I think that question had many parts and I'm not sure which one to answer.
  - Q. Okay. Isn't it true that Flag Service -- well, FSO produces, publishes Freedom magazine?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Does OSA publish Freedom magazine?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.3

14

16

17

18

- Q. Is it the same magazine?
- A. OSA produces Freedom magazine, but as I said also, they're produced in England and Los Angeles and elsewhere as well. It's not uniquely produced by my office.
- Q. When Los Angeles produce the Freedom magazine, is it the same as the Freedom magazine that comes out of Clearwater?
  - A. No, not necessarily.
- 11 Q. Okay. Do they produce it the same month and year?
  - A. Not necessarily.
    - Q. Are they copyrighted?
- 15 A. Yes.
  - Q. Are the magazines sent to the Library of Congress in Washington D.C.?
  - A. I believe so.
- Q. All right. The last thing we asked on documents on A was the document and lecture recording of L. Ron Hubbard entitled Analytical Mind dated August 28, 1950?
  - A. That's Exhibit Number 17.
- Q. Did you produce a copy of the recording of the lecture?

I produced a copy of the transcripts. 1 Α. 2 0. Okay. We asked for the lecture recording 3 as well? 4 Where is that? Α. 5 Q. Number 12 of Section A. 6 MR. POTTER: It's in the supplemental I 7 I think he's looking at the original 8 notice and you're looking at the amended notice. 9 MR. DANDAR: I'm sorry. Number 12? 10 BY MR. DANDAR: 1 1 Document and lecture recording. 12 I'm not sure if there is a lecture 13 recording. 14 Did you look for one? 0. 15 No. I can, though. Α. MR. ALVAREZ: I'm sorry to interrupt. 16 Ιs 17 there an amended notice? I never received a copy 18 of it. In fact, the only notices I have would be 19 the original which looks like it's three pages. 20 An additional request in which there are 11 21 different types of items specified and then some 22 sort of supplementary request pertaining to this 23 particular lecture. 24 MR. DANDAR: I have one dated -- it's 25 called an Amended scheduling the deposition from

the 27th to today, the 29th of July. Did you get that?

MR. ALVAREZ: Yeah, I never got that. And it may not matter, but let me just for the purpose of the record, I'll object in the sense that I didn't -- I didn't receive sufficient notice. I don't know if it will be an issue, although I always have objections pertaining to any religious communications, but again not knowing exactly what has been added in the Amended Notice, it's probably best for me to just make that objection and state why.

MR. DANDAR: Well, I believe the addition comes into the document entitled Additional Requests, which is dated July something, 26th.

MR. ALVAREZ: Okay. And if you have, in essence, in the amendment incorporated that additional in the supplementary, and there's nothing else, that's understood. But I'm just not certain. I haven't seen the amended in other words. For example, those that were specific to Thomas Brennan.

MR. DANDAR: Well, we're going to get there now.

MR. ALVAREZ: Add up to, yeah, they're more

than 6, and I don't know if you've added any beyond that. And if not, then certainly my objection is without the greatest of importance.

1.3

MR. DANDAR: Yeah. I got 12 for Thomas Brennan.

MR. ALVAREZ: Yes. I've never seen anything after 6, which 6 was copies of orders thandle the P.T. Situations.

MR. DANDAR: Okay. So I apparently did change it then.

MR. POTTER: Ken, we're flipping through our documents, and it doesn't appear that we received the Amended Notice that changed the date of deposition. I know that you and I talked about that and I know you told me you sent out a notice, but I don't think I ever got it. What we have is the original notice. We've got the supplemental request and then we've got the additional request. You obviously have a fourth document.

MR. DANDAR: I have one dated the 26th -22nd of July that I would have e-mailed you and
most likely faxed, but definitely e-mailed. So
can always find that out if we need to. I don't
know if we need to, but we'll see what extra

documents --

MR. POTTER: The point is anything that's on that document that's not on these other three, we had no knowledge about so we're not going to have produced today those documents. So far I don't know that I've heard anything that was not on the supplemental notice.

MR. DANDAR: Right. I may come up right now.

MR. ALVAREZ: In fact, wouldn't it be wise just to make a copy of the Amended Notice and let us look at it as you ask those questions.

MR. DANDAR: Yes, it would be wise.

MR. ALVAREZ: I think mark it as an exhibit as well.

MR. DANDAR: Very strange because these are the only two I have for this deposition today and you're telling me -- oh, here we go. Hold on.

MR. FUGATE: I'm looking here and I don't see it.

MR. DANDAR: I just have two. One dated July 22 and one dated July 26 so show me what you have.

MR. POTTER: I've got one dated -- I've got one that's not dated, okay.

MR. DANDAR: Okay, I'm writing on my 1 2 exhibit. MR. POTTER: All right, Ken. You have to 3 4 apologize to everybody. 5 MR. DANDAR: Yes, I am sorry, but you'll 6 all want to know this answer anyway because I just wrote down the exhibit numbers for each 7 8 thing. Okay, so. 9 MR. ALVAREZ: Well, why don't we just 10 strike it as an exhibit in the sense it doesn't 11 have any pertinence as an exhibit in the fact 12 that it's not the official notice, and that it 1.3 wasn't actually served. I mean we can keep it. 14 MR. DANDAR: I don't agree with you, 15 though, but I'm going to leave it marked as an 16 exhibit because it's the only two I have. And you saw -- show me what you have that's different 17 18 from what I have and I'll --19 MR. POTTER: I have the original one. 20 MR. DANDAR: Let me see it 21 MR. POTTER: Don't read all my little 22 notes. I said bad things about you so don't redd 23 them. 24 MR. DANDAR: All right. This one is 25 dated--

1 MR. POTTER: It doesn't have a date, that s 2 the problem. 3 MR. DANDAR: Well, it's good. undated. 4 5 MR. ALVAREZ: But notice it's on the first 6 page as well. 7 MR. POTTER: Here's a clean one. 8 MR. ALVAREZ: AS I look at this now, I 9 realize there's three pages. 10 MR. FUGATE: Mine is three pages. 11 MR. ALVAREZ: Right. So the original isn t dated, and I don't know if it's complete at that 12 13 time, but it's three pages. It almost seems like it skips, in fact, now that I look at it. 14 15 goes from A, B to E. Go back to the original. 16 MR. POTTER: You're right. 17 MR. ALVAREZ: I'm sitting here and looking 18 at this and I'm saying, wait a second. It skips 19 A, B, E. So that's the original. 20 MR. POTTER: And then we have the notice  $\phi f$ 21 supplemental. It's a two-page document. Now, 22 let's see, does it have a date? July 12. 23 MR. ALVAREZ: And that's the one in which 24 you requested the --25 MR. POTTER: And July 12 is the one that

asks for the Analytical Mind. We got that and 1 2 then we produced Analytical Mind. 3 THE WITNESS: Does it ask for the recording? 4 5 MR. DANDAR: It asks for the recording as well. 6 7 BY MR. DANDAR: 8 It may not exist. These recordings from 9 1950, some of them there is a transcript, but there is 10 no tape. 11 MR. POTTER: Do you think the tape says 12 something that the transcript doesn't? 13 MR. DANDAR: Yes, actually I do. 14 MR. POTTER: Do you think maybe we hired 15 Rosemary Wood? MR. DANDAR: She would have been about 15 16 17 at the time. No, I don't think so. 18 MR. ALVAREZ: Okay. And then lastly, Ken 19 for housekeeping purposes, there was a third 20 notice that was the additional request. MR. DANDAR: Right. 21 22 MR. ALVAREZ: And it had 11 parts, and it 23 went out apparently on the 26th. 24 MR. DANDAR: Right. All of this is only 25 Flag, okay. So let's keep going down the list of

the Amended Notice. 1 2 MR. ALVAREZ: Can I get a copy of that 3 before you --MR. POTTER: That's the one we don't have 4 5 MR. ALVAREZ: That's the one we don't have. 6 MR. DANDAR: All right. 7 MR. POTTER: If you want to go down it, 8 it's fine. It's just that we don't have it. 9 MR. DANDAR: All right, I'll tell you what. 10 Let's copy that original and I'll mark it as an 11 exhibit. Is there more than 3? 12 MR. POTTER: You can use these. These are 13 copies. 14 MR. DANDAR: Wait a minute. Do you have 15 the -- you know what, let's change that out. 16 Bring that back, the one I wrote on. 17 MR. POTTER: Wait a minute, I want to see 18 it. MR. DANDAR: I put all the exhibit numbers 19 20 as Peter was talking here. So do you have an 21 extra one of these? 22 MR. POTTER: No, that's the one I never 23 got. I have no copies, zero. MR. DANDAR: Let's go off the record. 24 25 (At this time a brief recess was taken.)

(At this time Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 20-22 were marked for identification.)

1.3

MR. DANDAR: All right. Off the record we've gone through the -- several notices of deposition for today. The first Notice of Deposition has been marked as Exhibit 22. That one consists of three pages, although it should really consist of four pages. That one starts out with A, Scientology, B, Thomas Brennan and then the next page is E, removal and it's obvious that C and D is missing which would be on Page 3. Then --

MR. ALVAREZ: I would only add that it wasn't obvious to me.

MR. FUGATE: It wasn't obvious to me.

MR. POTTER: It wasn't obvious to any of us, Rick.

MR. DANDAR: Then -- let me get this in order. On the 12th day of July, which is Exhibit 21, we sent out -- I sent out a notice of supplemental request for duces tecum on the corporate deposition, which back then was on July 27th, that's two pages. That only concerns the Analytical Mind.

And then on July 22nd we sent out the

Amended Notice of Taking Corporate Video 1 2 Deposition Duces Tecum which contains all the documents that I would have been requesting, and 3 it does include Section C for Denise and G for 4 5 Gerald Gentile. And that is Exhibit 19? 6 MR. POTTER: And that's the one that I did 7 not receive in totality. In other words, I 8 didn't receive any of that document. 9 MR. DANDAR: None of it? You didn't 10 receive any page? 11 MR. POTTER: Correct. 12 MR. ALVAREZ: And on behalf of Tom --

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. POTTER: You told me verbally that you had sent it out, and I knew the date had been changed, but I never physically received a copy of it.

MR. DANDAR: And then Exhibit 20 is entitled Additional Request to Produce, and that's dated July 26th, and that's marked as Exhibit 20, and that's all four of them.

That one I did receive. MR. POTTER: received it two days ago and did not have time look for those documents or produce those documents. So they're not produced today. think it also -- yeah, it asks for the auditing files which we did not -- which we, FSO, does not have, and which are clearly subject to the privilege.

MR. DANDAR: I also asked for the ethics folders of Thomas Brennan with Denise Gentile in February of '07. Paragraph Number 3.

MR. POTTER: Right. You asked -- you asked for those. We have not produced them. We believe that they are subject to privileges that we previously listed, and the same is true with I think Number 11. You're talking about ethics and so sort of qual documents.

Again, these I have not had a chance to look for or at. I assume that there probably would be a claim of privilege to some or all of the documents in response to Number 11. We may or may not also have privileges asserted to Number 9.

MR. DANDAR: Number 11 on Exhibit 20 asks for any and all files, records and documents concerning Thomas Brennan and/or Kyle Brennan from ethics and/or qual, q-u-a-l, and/or D of P. So let me ask Mr. Mansell.

#### BY MR. DANDAR:

1.3

Q. What is a folder or file that would be

entitled qual, q-u-a-1?

- A. I'm guessing qual refers to the Qualifications Division, which is an office of the Church that deals with ensuring the quality of training, but neither Thomas Brennan nor Kyle Brennan have a -- anything in that division. There are no files or folders in the Qualifications Division.
  - Q. All right. What about D of P?
- A. D of P, I assume you are asking about the Director of Processing, which is an administrative position in charge of auditing, but I'm not really sure who that is asking about. But in any case for Thomas or Kyle Brennan, to the extent that we were able to think of what you might be referring to, we checked and there are no records. The D of P -- D of P doesn't normally have a file or a folder or anything like that. So I'm not sure what you're asking for.
- Q. Okay. Since we're on Exhibit 20, let's just go for the rest of it. We'll just go backwards.

Knowledge report policy in effect in February of 2007. Did you produce that?

- A. No.
- Q. Why not?
- A. Well, as Mr. Potter said, we only received this a couple of days ago.

Q. Did you -- did try to get these documents even though you received it on July 26 by email?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. And hand delivery?

MR. POTTER: And hand delivery, what?

MR. DANDAR: That may be a mistake, but email.

Ken, we received this two days MR. POTTER: I have not even had a chance to look at it. Have not discussed it with my client. untimely. You're supposed to give 30 days production of documents. To the extent that we need to object to it on that basis we do. simply have not had a time to look at these documents. When we have these documents. We've also not had time to determine whether or not we claim privilege to them. Certainly there are privilege issues with respect to 3, 4, 9 and 11. Whether we will assert privilege to those documents, I don't know. Obviously Number 3 we don't even have. Those are up in the Church in New York. We overall object to this on all of those bases. You can ask him any questions you want to about it, but we do not have them today.

MR. FUGATE: Well, for the record, although

this is directed to the corporate representative, I just looked and as to email, if you faxed this I was in Miami so it could possibly be in the office, Exhibit 20, by fax, but it's not by email. I just went back and looked. So I don't know that that affects us, but we would have the same objection.

MR. ALVAREZ: And obviously since most of these requests go to Tom Brennan's pursuit of spiritual counsel, I would join in the objection on that basis, as well as the administrative basis, including late notice and such.

## BY MR. DANDAR:

Q. The PTS SP course check sheet. Are you claiming privilege on a paper that's just a form?

MR. POTTER: Ken, I have not had a chance to look at these documents. I don't know what they are. I don't know if I have a claim of privilege or not. I tried to list the ones that I'm looking at now, and saying that there may or may not be a claim of privilege. If Number 4 is simply a course check sheet, I don't think there's any claim of privilege to it. But I don't know. I haven't seen the document.

Haven't had a chance to look for it. 1 2 BY MR. DANDAR: Did -- Mr. Mansell, did any ethics office 3 Ο. 4 at Flag communicate with Mr. Thomas Brennan concerning 5 his son? 6 MR. POTTER: Well, I would instruct him not 7 to answer that question on the same basis that previously instructed him not to ask (sic) that 8 9 or a very similar question. You're trying to get 10 to the subject matter of the substance of 11 conversations between Mr. Brennan and I think Mr. Matteo (sic). 12 13 MR. DANDAR: Yes. MR. POTTER: And we are asserting privile e 14 15 to those communications. BY MR. DANDAR: 16 17 0. Did you produce the accounting records of 18 Mr. Thomas Brennan? 19 MR. POTTER: Which one are you referring 20 to, Ken? 21 MR. DANDAR: Exhibit 22. Paragraph B4. 22 That's the original --23 MR. POTTER: Show it to me. I can't find 24 it over here. The original one for which one? 25 MR. DANDAR: Thomas Brennan B4.

MR. POTTER: You can ask Mr. Mansell, but I 1 2 think there were no documents responsive to 3 Number 4. 4 MR. DANDAR: Did you just answer the 5 question for him? BY MR. DANDAR: 6 7 Α. But, anyway, that's correct. There are no 8 accounting records. He has never made any donations to 9 Flag for services or books or courses or anything. 10 Q. Did you look for any dispatches or 11 knowledge reports concerning Kyle Brennan from any 12 source other than the one you produced from Jerry 1.3 Gentile? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Were there any other dispatches or Q. 16 knowledge reports concerning Kyle Brennan? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. Where are they? 19 Α. They are in my office currently. 20 And why didn't you produce them? Q. 21 They are covered in the privilege log. Α. 22 MR. POTTER: In other words, we're 23 asserting privilege to them, Ken. 2.4 MR. DANDAR: We'll get to the privilege log 25 pretty soon. What I want to do is go through the

request to produce and then we'll go to the 1 privilege log. 2 3 MR. POTTER: I understand. I'm just saying 4 we're claiming privilege to those. 5 BY MR. DANDAR: 6 0. What's the difference between a dispatch 7 and a knowledge report? 8 A dispatch is simply a message on any 9 subject. A knowledge report is a report you are -- that 10 a person would write to communicate some knowledge about 11 a subject that the author of the report thinks is of 12 relevance to the ethics department. 1.3 Did you look or search for any ethics Q. 14 orders issued by a Flag ethics officer? 15 Α. Yes. Did you find any? 16 Q. 17 Α. No. 18 Q. This is my copy. 19 MR. POTTER: A copy of what? 20 My original notice of taking MR. DANDAR: 21 the deposition. 22 MR. POTTER: The one with the missing page 23 or the one without the missing pages? 24 MR. DANDAR: Don't confuse me. 25 BY MR. DANDAR:

- Q. Okay. Number 3, account records showing
  FSM commissions paid to Denise Gentile and/or Flag or
  anyone else related in any way to Thomas Brennan or Kyle
  Brennan. Did you look for those?
  - A. Yes.

1.3

- Q. Did you find any?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. Number 4, accounting records, ethics course, PTS course and/or charges for ethics handling concerning Thomas Brennan?
  - A. There are no such records.
- Q. Number 5, copies of any PTS interview with Thomas Brennan?
  - A. No such record.
- Q. Copies of orders to handle the PTS situation or disconnect in 2006 and 2007 to the present that has anything to do with Thomas Brennan?
  - A. No such records.
- Q. Number 6, copies of orders to handle the PTS situation or disconnect in 2006-2007 to the present concerning Thomas Brennan?
  - A. That's the same question. But again no such record.
- Q. Number 7, KR's from 2006 to the present, course work, completions, hats concerning Thomas

Brennan?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Knowledge reports, there are some listed in the privilege log. Course work I don't really know what that means. Completions, I don't really know what that means. And hats, you'd have to explain what you mean.
- Q. As defined in Scientology? All these words are used in Scientology as far as I know?
- A. Well, a hat is somebody's position, and Tom Brennan never held a position at Flag. So there would be no record of anything like a hat.
  - Q. What about completions?
  - A. Legs of what, I'm not sure what you mean?
  - Q. Courses at Flag?
  - A. He never did a course at Flag.
- Q. What about when he was participating with the Denise in her class, auditing class, does he get anything for that? Any piece of paper?
  - A. No.
- Q. Number 8, copies of FSM selection slip showing that Denise Gentile selected Thomas Brennan?
  - A. I checked. There are no such documents.
- Q. Copies of ethics reports in Jerry Gentile's file from 2006 to the present?
  - A. There are no such documents.
- Q. Copies of ethics reports on the daily

progress status of handling Kyle Brennan?

A. No such documents.

- Q. Copies of any ethics programs written directing the handling of Kyle Brennan?
- A. No such documents. Oh, no, I think there may be something similar to that in the privilege log.
- Q. Twelve, ministers course and/or completion concerning Thomas Brennan?
  - A. No such record.
- Q. And that's because it's not at Flag? It could be somewhere else like in Tampa but not at Flag?
- A. It could be. I'm not -- yeah, I don't know.
  - Q. All right. E, E, how did we get to E.

    This one is missing a page, yeah. Ask for -- are there any documents that were removed or destroyed or misplaced concerning records or documents on Thomas Brennan or Kyle Brennan?
    - A. No.
  - Q. Not to repeat myself, but I'm now looking at Exhibit 19. What I added on there is -- it's actually the same, okay.
  - On Exhibit 19 I'm just going to add on what was left off of Exhibit Number 22 according to Mr.
- Potter and Mr. Fugate and Mr. Alvarez. And that's the

same type of documents that I asked for concerning 1 2 Thomas Brennan. I'm now asking concerning Denise and Gerald Gentile. 3 4 Are there any dispatches or knowledge 5 reports to and from Flag or Narconon or anyone from 2006 to the present concerning Kyle Brennan or Thomas Brennan 6 7 in any folders of Denise Gentile? 8 Α. No. 9 Q. Did you look? 10 Α. I had somebody look. 11 And there were no knowledge reports at all Q. 12 concerning Kyle or Thomas Brennan in Denise Gentile's folders? 1.3 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Files or anything? 16 Α. None. 17 All right. Any ethics orders issued by Q. 18 Flag ethics officers, MAA, from 2006 to the present 19 concerning Denise Gentile? 20 I actually didn't check that. Α. 21 Why not? Q. 22 I wasn't asked to. Α.

MR. POTTER: Because we didn't receive the

25 BY MR. DANDAR:

request.

23

All right, all right. Did you look at any 1 Q. 2 -- if any FSM commissions were paid to Denise Gentile 3 and/or Flag or anyone else related in any way to Thomas 4 Brennan or Kyle Brennan? 5 Α. Yeah. Were there? 6 0. 7 Α. No. 8 In this case Denise Gentile testified that 0. 9 she recommended or referred to the mother, Victoria 10 Britton, that Kyle Brennan go to Narconon and that was 11 declined? 12 MR. FUGATE: Objection to form. 1.3 BY MR. DANDAR: 14 Had -- if -- if Kyle Brennan had gone to 15 Narconon for treatment as recommended by Denise Gentile, would Denise Gentile have received some type of 16 commission from that? 17 18 MR. POTTER: Objection. Calls for 19 speculation, but you can answer if you can. 20 BY MR. DANDAR: 21 I have no idea. Not from -- she wouldn't 22 receive a commission from Flag. I have no idea what Narconon does or doesn't do. 23 24 MR. FUGATE: And I object to the form 25 because that's not what she said.

1 BY MR. DANDAR: Did you look or do you know if there are 2 3 any ethics reports of Denise Gentile's ethics folder 4 concerning Thomas Brennan or Kyle Brennan? 5 Α. There are no reports. Are there any paperwork of any kind, 6 7 description in Denise Gentile's folders concerning 8 Thomas Brennan and/or Kyle Brennan? 9 Α. Not that I'm aware of as far as I know,  $n \phi$ . 10 Q. Did you look? I had somebody look in her ethics folder, 11 12 and there are no reports there. 1.3 I don't want to get caught up on words. Q. 14 You said reports, but is there any paper at all of any 15 kind? 16 Α. No. 17 Did you look in the --0. 18 MR. POTTER: Well, wait, wait, wait. 19 You're asking papers regarding Tom Brennan or 20 Kyle Brennan? 21 MR. DANDAR: Right. 22 BY MR. DANDAR: 23 Α. In Denise's ethics file, no.

Did you look in her auditing folder?

24

25

Q.

Α.

No.

- Q. Why not? 1 Wasn't asked to do so. 2 Α. 3 What about Gerald Gentile, were there any 0. 4 copies of dispatches or knowledge reports in his -- in 5 any of his files or records that would have anything to 6 do with Kyle Brennan or Thomas Brennan other than the 7 one you produced today? 8 Α. No.

  - And the one you produced today, is that in Gerald Gentile's file?
    - Α. No.
  - How did you discover that? Q.
- 13 MR. POTTER: Asked and answered, but go 14 ahead.
- BY MR. DANDAR: 15

9

10

11

- 16 It's in my office. Α.
- But how did it get -- I mean is it in a 17 18 folder marked something?
- 19 Α. Yeah.
- 20 What's it -- what's the folder called? Q.
- 21 It's in one folder that says Thomas --Α.
- 22 Thomas Brennan, the name.
- 23 Q. And what kind of folder is that?
- 24 Α. Just a manila -- simple manila folder.
- 25 Did you get that before or at the time that Q.

that knowledge report was written in February of '07? 1 MR. POTTER: Objection, asked and answered. 2 3 BY MR. DANDAR: 4 Sometime shortly after that. I don't know Α. 5 the exact date. 6 All right. Did you look to see if there were any type of PTS interviews with Denise Gentile, 7 8 Gerald Gentile or Thomas Brennan concerning Kyle 9 Brennan? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Where did you look? 0. 12 In -- I didn't look, but I had somebody Α. 13 look in their ethics files. Their files? 14 Ο. 15 Α. Yes. 16 And were there any? Q. 17 Α. No. 18 Q. What is PTS? 19 Α. It's an abbreviation for potential trouble 20 source, which is a condition meaning that the person is 21 connected to somebody who is hostile or antagonistic to 22 them, and, therefore, that may develop into trouble. 23 it's a potential trouble source. 24 Q. Is it a potential trouble source to the

25

person or to the Church?

A. Could be anything.

- Q. If Kyle Brennan is in his father's apartment on Cleveland Street a block from Flag, and he is taking an antidepressant prescribed by his psychiatrist known as Lexapro, does that make Kyle Brennan a potential trouble source?
- A. Sorry. Does it make Kyle Brennan a potential trouble, no.
- Q. Does it make Thomas Brennan a potential trouble source?
  - A. No, no.
- Q. Does it make Denise Gentile a potential trouble source?
  - A. No.
- Q. Does it make either of the three of them suppressive person?
  - A. No.
- Q. All right. I'm looking at your privilege log handed to me at the start of the deposition dated not dated, but marked as Exhibit 1. The first entry the first entry is dated May 3, 2006. It's described it's described as a written report requesting approval for Tom Brennan to receive auditing at the Church of Scientology Flag Service Organization, Inc.

First of all, it says it's from the ethics

```
officer Thomas Brennan with background information.
 1
     What ethics officer is that?
 2
 3
            Α.
                  Matteo.
            Q. Did Thomas Brennan receive auditing at Flag
 4
 5
     in May of '06?
                                In May of '06?
 6
                   MR. POTTER:
                   MR. DANDAR: That's what it says. That's
 7
 8
            what the date of the document is anyway?
     BY MR. DANDAR:
 9
            A. I believe so, yes.
10
                Who was his auditor?
11
            Ο.
12
                  Denise Gentile.
            Α.
13
               Was this part of a class or is it as an
            Q.
     auditor outside of a class?
14
15
                   It was part of Denise's training to be an
            Α.
     auditor.
16
17
                  And does that mean it's part of a class,
18
     course?
19
            Α.
                  Yeah.
20
                   Is it a Class 4 auditor course?
            Q.
21
                   Yeah.
            Α.
22
                   Now, the next item it says May 5 a written
            Q.
23
     report concerning Tom Brennan's eligibility. Additional
     information from Thomas Brennan to FSO ethics officer.
24
25
     The ethics officer again would be Matteo?
```

- A. Correct.
- Q. Now, Karine, K-a-r-i-n-e, Howd, H-o-w-d,

3 OSA?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

21

22

23

- A. Yes.
- Q. Does she work for you?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. She still does?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What does she do? What's her position at

10 OSA?

- A. She supervises the people who are receiving services at Flag from the viewpoint of their eligibility and appropriateness of services.
- Q. All right. And the third item down says

  September 7th of '06, a written report from Tom

  Brennan's ethics officer to the case supervisor. What

  ethics officer is that?
  - A. Matteo Rosetti, R-o-s-e-t-t-i.
  - Q. And who is the case supervisor?
- A. Marissa Jenson.
  - Q. Okay. I see that, all right. Did Thomas

    Brennan continue to receive auditing from Denise Gentile

    from May of '06 through the end of '06?
- A. I don't have records of the exact dates, but it was I think sporadic or infrequent.

- Q. How do you know that?
- A. I'm not sure where I've read that. It might have been the, you know, comments that she made in her statements in the police investigation maybe.
- Q. No. She only said she was a friend when she was investigated or interviewed by Detective Bohling?
- A. In that case I think it's probably from these reports.
  - Q. Okay.

- A. Yeah.
- Q. So let me ask you this. When Tom Brennan sends an information to get approval to have auditing at Flag, and it goes to your office, does that mean your office is the ultimate authority to say yes or no?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And is that decision to say yes or no based upon his background information that he supplies?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Does it -- and the reason -- does it have anything to do with what prior courses or things that he has done within Scientology?
  - A. That could be taken into account.
- Q. Okay. Number 4, which is on the top of the second page. It's dated January 13, 2007. This is a

report from Denise Gentile to the ethics officer,
Matteo, concerning furtherance of Tom Brennan's
auditing, correct?

A. Yes.

1.3

- Q. Under what circumstances does an ethics officer get involved in reviewing a parishioner's auditing file?
- A. If the parishioner is discussing something in auditing that requires the parishioner to do something that he may need the assistance of an ethics officer to resolve, then the preclear would go to see the ethics officer.
- Q. And is that a decision about needing to see the ethics officer made by the auditor or the case supervisor?
  - A. Usually the auditor.
- Q. Okay. So Denise wrote a report to the ethics officer on Thomas Brennan on January 13, January 15, January 16, as reflected on Page 2 of the privilege log, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. She also made a report to Matteo, the ethics officer, concerning Tom Brennan on January 17, '07, January 26, '07 and January 30th of '07, correct?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Did I mess up? I'm sorry. Let's start over again. She also made a report to the ethics officer on January 17th of '07?
  - A. Correct.

1.3

- Q. And then on January 26th of '07, she made a report -- I'm sorry. On January 26th, '07, the ethics officer made a report to the case supervisor concerning Thomas Brennan, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that case supervisor was Marissa Jenson?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. And that's how you spell her name?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And then he also four days later on January 30th of '07 made a report to the ethics officer to Marissa Jenson, the case supervisor, about Tom Brennan?
- A. Could you say that again. I think I was bit confused.
  - Q. Okay. On January 30th of '07 Matteo, the ethics officer at Flag, made a report concerning Tom Brennan to the case supervisor, Maria (sic) Jenson?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And then the next page shows February 6,

February 7, and February 12 of '07, Denise Gentile, the auditor, is making a report to the ethics officer concerning Tom Brennan?

A. Correct.

Q. And isn't it true that those reports, all or at least one of them, concern Kyle Brennan?

MR. ALVAREZ: Object to the form. And also objection on the basis of privilege in regards to it goes to the content of religious communications, namely those by Thomas Brennan for purposes of spiritual counsel.

MR. POTTER: I will instruct the witness not to answer that question because it requires him to disclose the substance or subject matter of privileged communications.

### BY MR. DANDAR:

- Q. Turning the page, on February 13, 2007,

  Denise Gentile, the auditor, at Flag makes a report to

  the ethics officer, Matteo, in furtherance of Tom

  Brennan's auditing, is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And on February 15, 2007, there's something called the list of actions prepared by Tom Brennan and his ethics officer, Matteo, is that correct?
- A. Correct.

Is there a policy or document within 1 Q. 2 Scientology that lists ethics folders as privileged? 3 MR. ALVAREZ: Objection to form. 4 BY MR. DANDAR: 5 Α. Would you mind repeating it? Is there --6 is there a what, a document? 7 Is there a document of any type or nature 8 within Scientology that lists an ethics folder as beind 9 a privileged document? 10 Α. I actually haven't looked for such document 11 so I don't really know. It's possible. 12 Is there such a writing in Scientology that Q. 13 says the auditing files are privileged? 14 Α. I'm sure it's written somewhere. 15 Q. You don't know? I'm sure there's a document that would say 16 Α. that, yes. 17 18 Q. But you're not able to identify such a 19 document, right? 20 Not without looking for it, no. Α. 21 What -- I'm not asking about content or Q. 22 subject matter, but what is a lift of actions? 23 does that mean? 24 It would be the subjects that the ethics 25 officer and the parishioner have worked out that the

parishioner should do.

- Q. All right. That's dated February 15th of 2007. Do you agree with me that that is the only time something called a list of actions prepared by Tom Brennan and the ethics officer appear in the privilege log?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware that that is the date that Thomas Brennan locked up his son's Lexapro in the trunk of his car?
- MR. ALVAREZ: Objection to form.
- 12 BY MR. DANDAR:
- 13 A. No.
  - Q. In fact, isn't that listed on the list of actions on February 15, 2007, for Tom Brennan to do is put the medicine Lexapro in the trunk of his car?

MR. POTTER: I object to the question because it calls for him to divulge the content and/or the subject matter of privileged communications, and I instruct the witness not to answer.

# BY MR. DANDAR:

- Q. Are you going to listen to your lawyer?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. If there's a PTS situation involving Thomas

Brennan, is it the ethics officer who informs Thomas 1 Brennan to handle the situation? 2 3 MR. POTTER: Object to the form. Calls for 4 speculation. Call for hypothetical as well. 5 BY MR. DANDAR: 6 Can you give it to me again. I actually 7 don't understand it. 8 Does part of the functions of an ethics 0. 9 officer to a parishioner include telling the parishioner how to handle a situation? 10 11 Α. No. Does the ethics officer tell the 12 Q. 13 parishioner how to handle a PTS situation? 14 Α. No. 15 Is there anyone within the Church of Q. Scientology that would tell a parishioner how to handle 16 a PTS situation? 17 18 Α. No. The ethics officer might help. 19 0. Recommend? 20 Or show him the policies that we produced Α. 21 today. 22 Can a PTS situation interfere with a 0. 23 parishioner's being able to continue taking courses? 24 Α. It could, but not necessarily. 25 Now, as I'm reading your privilege log, it Q.

```
jumps, my word, from February 15, '07, to March 15, '07,
 1
     and forward?
 2
 3
            Α.
                   Yeah.
 4
                   Are you communicating for us and the Court
            Q.
 5
     that there's no documents, records of any kind, shape dr
 6
     form involving Kyle Brennan or Thomas Brennan after
 7
     February 15th of '07 up to the beginning of March 15,
 8
     07?
 9
            Α.
                   No. Because this one is in between.
10
            Q.
                   That's the knowledge report of Mr. Gentile,
11
     right?
12
                   Yeah.
            Α.
1.3
                   Okay. But there's nothing else?
            Q.
14
                   MR. POTTER: There's no other documents
15
            that we're claiming privilege to.
     BY MR. DANDAR:
16
17
                   All right. Are there any other documents
            0.
18
     generated from any source anywhere involving the Church
19
     of Scientology, Thomas Brennan and/or Kyle Brennan after
20
     February 15th of '07 excluding the Gentile's knowledge
21
     report up to February 15th of '07?
22
                   MR. POTTER: I object to the question as
23
            vaque. Lacks foundation. Calls for the witness
24
            to speculate.
25
     BY MR. DANDAR:
```

```
I'm not asking you to speculate, that's
 1
             Q.
     number one. Lacks foundation. Are there any records of
 2
 3
     documents of any kind anywhere that you know about?
 4
             Α.
                   No.
 5
                   MR. POTTER: Okay, that's it. You laid the
 6
             foundation.
 7
     BY MR. DANDAR:
 8
                   Are you aware of any records or documents
             0.
 9
     that have been misplaced concerning Tom Brennan and Kyle
     Brennan?
10
11
             Α.
                   No.
12
                   Are you aware of any documents that have
             Q.
13
     been destroyed, culled from the files or destroyed
14
     concerning Thomas Brennan or Kyle Brennan?
15
             Α.
                   No.
16
                   Were you involved in the writings of the
             0.
17
     article in Freedom magazine concerning Amy Scobee?
18
             Α.
                   No.
19
                   Were you involved in authorizing the
20
     publication of the Freedom magazine which talked about
21
     Amy Scobee?
22
             Α.
                   No.
23
             Q.
                   Who was?
24
             Α.
                   I don't know. It wasn't produced at the
25
     Flag Service Organization.
```

Where was it produced? 1 Q. 2 Α. In California. 3 Are you aware that the information 0. 4 contained in the article published by Freedom magazine 5 on Amy Scobee contained material from her auditing file? 6 Α. No. 7 MR. POTTER: I'm going to object to this 8 entire line of questioning because it's not on 9 the 30(b)(6) notice. You're essentially now 10 asking this witness for his personal information 11 as opposed to asking Flag for its information of 12 I'm not going to instruct him not these topics. 1.3 to answer, but first of all the questions are all 14 irrelevant. Second of all, it's not within the 30(b)(6) notice. 15 MR. DANDAR: Time for lunch. 16 17 MR. ALVAREZ: May I ask our general plan 18 for the afternoon so that -- this is off the 19 record. 20 (At this time a brief recess was taken.) 21 BY MR. DANDAR: 22 In Scientology what is the definition of 0. 23 enemy? 24 Α. I think it's more or less the same as the 25 normal English definition. Meaning someone or something

that has hostile intentions towards you or -- yeah.

- Q. Is Kyle Brennan seeing a psychiatrist and taking a psychiatric drug for depression, is he in a lower condition known as enemy?
  - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.3

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Why not?
- A. I don't know how to answer why not. He just isn't so.
- Q. Is it because he's not a Scientologist or it some other reason?
- A. No. Because it's got nothing -- there's no connection.
  - Q. Is enemy a lower condition in Scientology?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Is it the lowest of the lower conditions?
  - A. No.
- Q. What's the lowest?
- A. Confusion.
  - Q. Kyle Brennan's uncle recently testified that he was called an enemy. Kyle was called an enemy by Tom Brennan's wife Wendy when Kyle visited Wendy and Tom Brennan in the summer of 2006.
    - Do you have any knowledge as to why Brennan's wife would have called Kyle Brennan an enemy?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. What is the code of a Scientologist?
- A. It's a code, meaning a set of principles of precepts that exemplify the way a Scientologist is expect to conduct himself.
- Q. Does a Scientologist who follows the code of a Scientologist have the obligation to report someone that they know to be on a psychiatric drug?
  - A. No.
- Q. Does Denise Miscavige have a duty under the code of Scientologists to report Kyle Brennan being on a psychiatric drug?
  - MR. FUGATE: Object to the form of the question and it's Denise Gentile.
    - MR. DANDAR: What did I say?
    - MR. FUGATE: Miscavige.

### 16 BY MR. DANDAR:

1.3

- Q. I'm sorry. Denise Gentile does not have an obligation to report Kyle Brennan being on a psychiatric drug?
  - A. No.
- Q. Does Denise Gentile, as a Scientologist and according to the code of a Scientologist, have a duty to report her PC, Tom Brennan, has a son in his apartment here in Clearwater a block from Flag who is on street drugs?

1 Α. No. 2 0. Does she have the duty to report if Kyle 3 Brennan, if she knows, is on Lexapro, an antidepressant 4 psychotropic drug? 5 Α. No. Is it out ethics for Denise Gentile not  $t\phi$ 6 7 report Kyle Brennan living with his father a block from 8 Flag on an antidepressant? 9 MR. FUGATE: Objection to form --10 THE COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear you, 11 Lee. 12 MR. FUGATE: Objection to the form of the 13 question. 14 MR. POTTER: Would you mind repeating it. 15 BY MR. DANDAR: Is it out ethics for Denise Gentile or 16 0. 17 Thomas Brennan to fail to report that the son, Kyle 18 Brennan, is visiting, and he has connected to a 19 psychiatrist and taking the drug Lexapro for 20 antidepression (sic)? 21 Α. No. 22 Ο. Is it a crime for a Scientologist to take 23 an antidepressant psychiatric, psychotropic drug? 24 Α. No. 25 In the document entitled Keeping Q.

Scientology Working, does Mr. Hubbard make it clear that a Scientologist should not condone the psychiatric abuse of drugs?

A. Not that I recall. I think the answer is no.

- Q. Are the documents that are in the privilege log on the first page authored by the ethics officer,

  Matteo Rosetti, to OSA, Karine Howd, are those knowledge reports?
  - A. No.

- Q. Do they have any title?
- A. Not that I recall.
- Q. On Page 2 of the privilege log, January 13, '07, through the next page, January 17, '07. All of those listed reports authored by Denise Gentile concerning Thomas Brennan, are those knowledge reports, all of them going to the ethics officer, Matteo Rosetti?
- A. From my recollection I believe they're entitled session reports.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. Meaning auditing session.
- Q. The report of January 26, '07, from the ethics officer, Matteo, to the case supervisor, Marissa Jenson, what's the name of that report?
- A. I don't believe it has a title.

- Same for January 30, '07? 1 Q. 2 Α. Yes. 3 The February 6, '07 report from Denise 0. 4 Gentile to the ethics officer at Flag, Matteo Rosetti, 5 what's the title of that report? 6 I believe that one also says session 7 report, I think. 8 Is that the same for February 7 and Ο. 9 February 12? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Do you know if Denise Gentile made a report 12 of any type concerning her telephone call with Victoria Britton when she talked about Narconon? 1.3 14 Α. Not that I'm aware of. I don't think so, 15 no. 16 Q. Now, you produced a knowledge report written by a Jerry Gentile. Do you have one that was 17 18 written by Denise Gentile?
- 19 A. No.

23

- Q. Are these all session reports from Denise
  Gentile to the ethics officer, Matteo Rosetti, on
  February 7, February 12, February 13?
  - A. Oh, I'm sorry. Was that a question?
- Q. Yeah. There was a question mark at the end of that.

MR. POTTER: I'm sorry, we missed it. 1 2 BY MR. DANDAR: 3 I'm sorry. Are those all session reports? 0. 4 I believe so, yes. Α. 5 0. And the one on February 15, the list of 6 actions between the ethics officer and Tom Brennan, what 7 do you call that? 8 I don't believe it has a title. 9 How many miscellaneous documents are there Q. 10 from March 16, '07, forward concerning Kyle Brennan? A small number. I don't know the exact 11 12 number. 13 And all these documents go from Flag to Q. 14 Flag's counsel, attorneys? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Did CCHR get involved at all with Kyle 0. 17 Brennan? 18 I guess the answer is I don't know. I 19 didn't check, but certain there's -- I have no knowledde 20 or information that they did. 21 Is the drug Lexapro an antipsyche drug Q. 22 within the understanding of the Church of Scientology? 23 Α. An antipsyche drug? I don't think so. 24 Q. Is Lexapro a drug that is considered should 25 not be used by a Scientologist?

- A. I'd say it's safe to say it wouldn't be recommended for a Scientologist to take it.
- Q. Would a Scientologist be violating the statement in the Keeping Scientology working which states closing the door on any possibility of incorrect technology if they were living with a person who was taking a psychiatric drug such as Lexapro?
  - A. No.

1.3

- Q. Isn't it true that in the Introduction of the Scientology ethics book it states that a Scientologist must either handle or disconnect when it comes to dealing with an SP?
- A. Well, I don't have a copy of the book with me, but -- so it's hard to answer.
  - Q. You really don't know the answer to that?
  - A. The question was it states what?
- Q. When it comes to dealing with a suppressive person that you either handle or disconnect?
  - A. Yeah. It's fair to say.
- Q. And if a Scientologist is connected to a SP, that Scientologist is PTS, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And if that Scientologist is PTS, then that Scientologist may not be able to continue on line, correct?

Α. Not correct. 1 2 Q. Why not? 3 The why not questions are difficult to Α. 4 answer. 5 MR. FUGATE: Well, just for the sake of 6 moving this along I'll object because this is a 7 30(B)(6) deposition. He's not an expert and just 8 to read documents and ask him his opinion I think 9 is improper for the sake of moving this along. BY MR. DANDAR: 10 11 CCHR is in Division 6? Ο. Α. 12 No. 13 Q. It's not? 14 Huh-uh. Α. 15 Is it against the Scientologist code to -Q. for a Scientologist in good standing to live in the same 16 17 apartment with someone who is taking a psychotropic drug 18 such as Lexapro? 19 Α. No. 20 Is it out ethics to do that? Q. 21 To do what? Α. 22 To live in the same place with someone who Q. 23 is on a psychotropic drug such as Lexapro? 24 Α. No. 25 Is it out ethics not to report that to an Q.

```
ethics officer that you're connected to someone who is
 1
 2
     on a psychotropic drug?
 3
             Α.
                   No.
 4
             Q.
                    Who has possession of the list of actions
     between Thomas Brennan and Matteo Rosetti?
 5
                    The documents?
 6
             Α.
                   Yeah.
 7
             Q.
 8
                   I do.
             Α.
 9
                   When did you receive it?
             Q.
10
             Α.
                    In the process of responding to this
11
     notice.
12
                   Was it in your office or did you have to
     retrieve it from someone?
13
                    I had to retrieve it from the ethics file
14
             Α.
15
             Q.
                   And who had the ethics file?
             Α.
                    Sarah retrieved it from the ethics office
16
17
                   Okay. At Flag?
             Q.
18
             Α.
                   Yes.
19
             0.
                   Is that in the Coachman building?
20
                   Yes.
             Α.
21
                    Okay. All right. Is it policy to report
             Q.
22
     PTS SP situations?
23
                    I think that would depend on the situation.
24
             Q.
                    If the situation is truly a PTS SP
25
     situation, is it policy to report it?
```

As I said, it would depend on the 1 Α. 2 situation. 3 0. If the situation, though, however was a PTS 4 SP situation, wouldn't you have -- wouldn't the policy 5 be to report it? 6 MR. POTTER: Object to the form of the 7 question because it's argumentative. 8 No, it's not. MR. DANDAR: 9 MR. POTTER: It is. The tone was 10 argumentative. He's answered the question 11 twice--12 BY MR. DANDAR: 13 What does it depend on? What does it Q. 14 depend on? 15 Α. It would depend on the circumstances. 16 0. Such as what? If the lady that lives across the road from 17 18 you appears to be a suppressive person who is making her 19 son's life miserable, I don't think I would have any 20 obligation to report that to anybody. 21 Isn't it true that Denise Gentile reported 0. 22 that Thomas Brennan's son was taking Lexapro? 23 MR. ALVAREZ: Objection to the degree that 24 that report is a result of Tom Brennan's pursuit 25 of spiritual counseling.

MR. POTTER: Yeah. To the extent it's 1 2 necessary for you to divulge any privileged 3 communications in order to answer that question, I would instruct you not to answer it. If you 4 5 can otherwise answer it, then you may do so. 6 BY MR. DANDAR: 7 Α. Okay. Would you mind repeating the 8 question. 9 Did Denise Gentile report that Thomas Q. 10 Brennan's son Kyle Brennan was using Lexapro? 1 1 MR. POTTER: Okay. And are you asking if she reported this to an ethics officer or  $\ensuremath{\text{--}}$ 12 1.3 MR. DANDAR: Anybody, anywhere, anytime? 14 MR. POTTER: Clearwater Police Department? 15 MR. DANDAR: Anyone, anywhere, anytime. 16 MR. POTTER: In that case your question 17 lacks foundation. 18 MR. DANDAR: Do you want me to be more 19 specific? 20 MR. POTTER: I don't think he went over and 21 read the police department's files. 22 BY MR. DANDAR: 23 Q. Other than the police department, which she 24 didn't report to, did Denise Gentile report to anyone 25 concerning Kyle Brennan's use of Lexapro?

MR. POTTER: Object to the form. Vague. 1 Lacks foundation. 2 3 MR. ALVAREZ: And my prior objection, 4 please. 5 MR. POTTER: And the instruction still 6 stands. If he has to refer to privileged 7 communications in order to answer that, then he's 8 instructed not to. 9 BY MR. DANDAR: 10 Α. I'm not aware of any anyway. 11 Did Thomas Brennan report to anyone at 12 Scientology about his son using Lexapro? 1.3 MR. ALVAREZ: Same objection on religious 14 bases. 15 MR. POTTER: Same instruction and same 16 objection in terms of being vague and lacks foundation. 17 18 BY MR. DANDAR: 19 I'm not aware of it. 20 Do you know whether the list of actions 21 between Thomas Brennan and Matteo, the ethics officer, 22 had anything to do with Kyle Brennan's use of Lexapro? 23 MR. ALVAREZ: Same objection. 2.4 MR. POTTER: Same instruction. If he has to refer or -- refer to privileged communications 25

or privileged documents in order to answer that 1 2 question, he's instructed not to answer it. 3 he's otherwise able to answer the question, he 4 may do so. 5 BY MR. DANDAR: 6 I'm not able to answer it. 7 MR. DANDAR: That's all I have. 8 MR. POTTER: You mean we're not going to go 9 through all the bulletins and learn about those? MR. DANDAR: You produced them. 10 Just make 11 sure we have them all. There's no more -- no 12 questions, no cross, no nothing? 13 MR. ALVAREZ: I have no questions. 14 MR. POTTER: We will - we will read. 15 (At this time the deposition 16 in the above-captioned matter 17 was concluded at 1:31 p.m.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 STATE OF FLORIDA 2 3 COUNTY OF PINELLAS 4 I, DENISE HERROLD, Court Reporter, certify 5 that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing deposition; and that the transcript is a 6 true record of the testimony given by the witness. 7 8 I further certify that I am not a relative, 9 employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, 10 nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' 11 attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am $\mathbb{I}$ 12 financially interested in the action. 1.3 I, the undersigned authority, certify that 14 PETER MANSELL personally appeared before me and was duly 15 sworn. 16 WITNESS my hand and official seal this 2nd DAY OF AUGUST, 2010. 17 18 19 DENISE A. HERROLD 20 NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF FLORIDA 21 COURT REPORTER 22 23 24 25

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
L 0	
L 1	
L 2	
L 3	
L 4	
L 5	
L 6	
L 7	
L 8	
L 9	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	